MASTER NEGATIVE NO.95-82495-10

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted materials including foreign works under certain conditions. In addition, the United States extends protection to foreign works by means of various international conventions, bilateral agreements, and proclamations.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

The Columbia University Libraries reserve the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgement, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of the copyright law.

Author:

U.S. Bureau of Agricultural Economics

Title:

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona...

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

1936

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES PRESERVATION DIVISION

BIBLIOGRAPHIC MICROFORM TARGET

ORIGINAL MATERIAL AS FILMED - EXISTING BIBLIOGRAPHIC RECORD

DUSINESS 385 Un396 ·

MSH

RESTRICTIONS ON USE:

U.S. Bureau of agricultural economics. Division of land economics.

... Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate; data for 286 counties, 1933 or earlier years. Washington, D.C., 1936.

cover-title, 102 p. tables. 27cm.

Mimeographed.

At head of title: United States Department of agriculture, Bureau of agricultural economics, Division of land ecomics.

	TECHNICAL MICROFO	ORM DATA	
FILM SIZE: 35mm	REDUCTION RATIO: 15x	IMAGE PLACEMENT: IA IIA IB I	IIB
DATE FILMED: _	5-31-95	INITIALS: WW	
TRACKING #:	MSH 06454		

FILMED BY PRESERVATION RESOURCES, BETHLEHEM, PA.


2.5 mm


2.0 mm

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

1.5 mm

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ bcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890





4.5 mm

3.5 mm

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz 1234567890

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

3.0 mm

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz1234567890

LI.S. Bureau of agricultural Economics.

Division of Land Economics

Patio of assessed

Value to Consideration

in Bona Fide Transfers

of Farm Real Estate

D385

Un 396

Columbia University in the City of New York

LIBRARY



School of Business

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Bureau of Agricultural Economics
Division of Land Economics

COLUMBIA UMPVERSTY LIBRARY

RATIO OF ASSESSED VALUE TO CONSIDERATION IN BONA FIDE TRANSFERS OF FARM REAL ESTATE

Data for 286 Selected Counties, 1933 or Earlier Years

Washington, D. C. January 1936

CONTENTS

	i topic i		
	Page		Page
Introduction	1	South Atlantic - Co	ontinued
New England	3 ''	West Virginia	61
New Hampshire	: 3.	: . : North Carolina	62
Vermont :	4	South Carolina	64
Rhode Island	5	Georgia	66
Connecticut	6	Florida	69
Middle Atlantic	7	East South Central	71
New Jersey	7	Kentucky	71
Pennsylvania	8	Tennessee	75
East North Central	10	Alabama	78
Ohio	10	Mississippi	- 81
Indiana	14	West South Central	82
Illinois	18	Arkansas	82
Michigan	20	Louisiana	84
Wisconsin	21	Oklahoma	86
West North Central	24	Texas	88
Minnesota	24	Mountain	91
Iowa	26	Montana	91
Missouri	47	Idaho	93
North Dakota	49	Wyoming	94
South Dakota	51	Colorado	95
Nebraska	53	New Mexico	96
Kansas	55	Arizona	97
South Atlantic	57	Utah	98
Delaware	57	Nevada	99
Maryland	58	Pacific	100
Virginia	59	Washington	100
		Oregon	101
			The second second

Busines

RATIO OF ASSESSED VALUE TO CONSIDERATION IN BONA FIDE TRANSFERS OF FARM REAL ESTATE, 1933 OR EARLIER YEARS

Prepared by Division of Land Economics

This report presents for 286 counties in 44 States basic data on the ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of form real estate. The data for this report are based on these collected from official county records under a Civil Works Project administered by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, and assisted by the Agricultural Experiment Stations of each of the States for which data are presented.

This report includes only those transfers judged to be voluntary, in which farm land with improvements were transferred by warranty or special warranty deed, in which the buyer and seller had different surnames (to eliminate transfers between relatives in so far as possible), for which the consideration stated in the deed was adjudged to be the full and true consideration, and for which an assessed valuation as of a date approximating the date of the transfer was evailable.

In many of the counties, the small number of transfers giving the necessary information, the variation in size of property and in price per acre, as well as the basis of assessment and date of reassessment have contributed to the considerable variation which the ratio of accessed to sales value shows between years. The variation between States arises largely out of the differences in the legal ratio that the assessed value bears to the appraised "full" value of the property taxed, and out of the variation in accessment methods and practices. The variation between counties indicated by the data arise in part from the factors contributing toward the variations between years, and in part from the lack of uniformity in accessment practices.

In general, assessed values appear to les considerably behind sales values both when sales values are rising and when they are falling. From 1920 to 1985, while sales values were falling in almost all parts of the country, there has been an upward trend in most counties in the ratio that assessed values been to sales values.

^{1/} The project was designated officially as Call Project 10, and consisted of two parts: (a) Form land values and transfers, and (b) Tex delinquency. It was administered in the Bureau by a joint committee union the chairmenship of Bric Englund, Assistant Chief of the Buruan, and compor a of Lonald Jackson, V. W. Valgren and G. J. Isaac from the Division of are cultural lineace, and B. R. Studer and M. W. Rown from the Division of Lan Lacromias. The mulyels of the data on ten Johnstoney was hardled as the chief responsibility of Division of Agricultural Fire et and has been published in the series "sex Deliverary of hard seel act to". In addition to the present publication, a report on farm real satute transfers by type of transfer and average consideration per sero in bone fide transfers has also been prepared under the land value and transfer phase of the project, covering 414 counties in 47 States. These counties were selected from over 1,500 counties in which varying amounts of the were collected from official county records. The analysis involved in propring the roport "Transfers of Farm Real Estate" as well as this publication, "Hatio a Assessed Value of Consideration in Bona Fide Transfers of Farm Coll Estate" has been carried out by the Division of Land Leonemics, with many helpful suggestions from the general committee.

	Page		Page
Introduction	** F */	South Atlantic - C	ontinued
New England	3	West Virginia	61
New Hampshire	3.	:: North Carolina	62
Vermont	4	South Carolina	64
Rhode Island	5	Georgia	66
Connecticut	6	Florida	69
Middle Atlantic	7	East South Central	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
New Jersey	7	Kentucky	71
Pennsylvania	8	Tennessee	75
East North Central	10	Alabama	78
Ohio	10	Mississippi	- 81
Indiana	14	West South Central	
Illinois	18	Arkansas	82
Michigan	20	Louisiana	84
Wisconsin	21	Oklahowa	86
West North Central	24	Texas	88
Minnesota	24	Mountain	91
Iowa	26	Montana	91
Missouri	47	Idaho	93
North Dakota	49	Wyoming	94
South Dakota	51	Colorado	95
Nebraska	53	New Mexico	96
Kansas	55	Arizona	97
South Atlantic	57	Utah	98
Delaware	57	Nevada	99
Maryland	58	Pacific	100
Virginia	59	Washington	100
A STATE OF THE STATE OF		Oregon	101

Business

Business D385

RATIO OF ASSESSED VALUE TO CONSIDERATION IN BONA FIDE TRANSFERS OF FARM REAL ESTATE, 1933 OR EARLIER YEARS

Prepared by Division of Land Economics

This report presents for 286 counties in 44 States basic data on the ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate. The data for this report are based on these collected from efficial county records under a Civil Works Project administered by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics, and assisted by the Agricultural Experiment Stations of each of the States for which data are presented.

This report includes only those transfers judged to be voluntary, in which farm land with improvements were transferred by warranty or special warranty deed, in which the buyer and seller had different surnames (to climinate transfers between relatives in so far as possible), for which the consideration stated in the deed was adjudged to be the full and true consideration, and for which an assessed valuation as of a date approximating the date of the transfer was available.

In many of the counties, the small number of transfers giving the necessary information, the variation in size of property and in price per acro, as well as the basis of assessment and date of reassessment have contributed to the considerable variation which the ratio of assessed to also value shows between years. The variation between States arises largely cut of the differences in the legal ratio that the assessed value bears to the appraised "full" value of the property taxed, and out of the variation in assessment methods and practices. The variation between counties indicated by the data arise in part from the factors contributing toward the variation between years, and in part from the lack of uniformity in assessment practices.

In general, assessed values appear to lag considerably behind sales values both when sales values are rising and when they are falling. From 1920 to 1933, while sales values were falling in almost all parts of the country, there has been an upward trend in most counties in the ratio that assessed values bear to sales values.

^{1/} The project was designated officially as CWA Project 16, and consisted of two parts: (a) Farm land values and transfers, and (b) Tax delinquency. It was administered in the Bureau by a joint committee under the chairmanship of Eric Englund, Assistant Chief of the Bureau, and composed of Bonald Jackson, V. N. Valgron and G. J. Isaac from the Division of Agricultural Finance, and B. R. Stauber and M. W. Regan from the Division of Lans Economics. The analysis of the data on tax delanquency was handled as the chief responsibility of the Division of Agricultural Firance and has been published in the series "Tax Delinquency of hural heal Estate". In addition to the present publication, a report on farm real estate transfers by type of transfer and average consideration per acre in bona fide transfers has also been propered under the land value and transfer phase of the project, covering 414 counties in 47 States. These counties were selected from over 1,300 counties in which varying amounts of data were collected from official county records. The analysis involved in preparing the report "Transfers of Farm Real Estate" as well as this publication, "Ratio of Assessed Value of Consideration in Bona Fide Transfers of Farm "cal Estate has been carried out by the Division of Land Economics, with many helpful suggestions from the general committee.

4

4

NEW HAMPSHIRE

County	:		:Cons	ideration	: Assessed	: Ratio	f assessed	i *
and	:Properties:	Acreage	:	per :	: value	: valu	e to con-	
year				acre	: per acre	: sid	deration	
Strafford	Number	Acres	Do	llars	Dollars	I	Percent	
1928	2	246	2	3.58	17.48		74	
1929	4	453	1	4.90	9.05		61	
1930	4	156	3	8.78	28.85		74	
1931	5	147	2	6.53	35.37		133	
1932	8	207	4	3.48	30.53		70	
1933	3	142	4	1.20	22.18		54	
Sullivan								
1928	1	90	. 5	4.44	38.89		71	
1929	1	127	1	5.75	15.75		100	
1931	3	305	1	8.36	19.02		104	
2001								

VERMONT

County and year	: Properties:	Acreage	: per	: Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con-
Orleans	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1928	65	6,739	43.45	20:98	48
1929	57	5,792	44.77	18.41	41
1930	60	5,558	39.17	19.45	50
1931	38	3,071	37.85	18.84	50
1932	32	3,984	28.03	16.11	57
1933	24	2,388	26.96	17.05	63
Windsor				10.00	55
1925	12	1,228	25.04	13.76	56
1926	16	1,392	31.36	17.64	47
1927	3	180	58.06	27.50	53
1928	14	1,443	28.62	15.19	
1929	12	959	28.99	15.95	55
1930	10	699	25.21	14.74	58
1931	10	622	29.79	13.26	45
1932	6	493	26.77	19.07	71
1933	5	552	7.52	5.98	80
Server					

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

RHODE ISLAND

	County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
	Kent	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1926	- 1	4	425.00	575.00	135
	1927	2	18	638.89	482.22	75
10	1928	1	50	110.00	50.00	45
	1933	2	77	24.68	18.83	76
	Providence					1.5
12	1925	1	150	8.67	12.60	145
15	1929	1	23	21.74	36.96	170
	1938	2	149	, 26.85	42.67	159
	Washington					
370	1925	1	129	69.77	77.52	111 "
	1926	3	355	16.20	12.54	77
	1927	3	72	54.51	64.24	118
•	1929	2	99	17.17	26.77	156

	•		CONNECTICUT			
County			:Consideration	on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	=
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	110
year_			_:_ acre	_:_per_acre :	sideration	-
fiddlesex	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1926	• 3	346	23.27	18.40	79	-
1927	5	524	30.04	12.94	43	
1928	4	234	37.39	28.70	77	
1929	4	449	37.19	19.57	53	
1930	1	39	38.46	39.49	103	
1931	7	724	23.34	17.51	75 108	
1932	1	36	86.11	93.06	108	
1933	1	35	34.29	14.00	41	

NEW JERSEY

٠ _ داد	County and year	: :Properties: : :	Acreage	: per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration	
St	1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933	Number 10 6 15 9 1 13 15 7 4 10 1 8 6	Acres 1,154 700 1,257 683 200 1,473 2,221 958 438 890 174 926 702	Dollars 58.79 30.82 29.51 44.25 .36.50 40.56 54.04 .73.62 72.68 61.74 32.18 38.33 46.72	Dollars 28.34 14.07 14.57 30.09 15.00 16.43 22.24 35.80 30.59 31.55 22.99 19.41 32.48	Percent 48 46 49 68 41 41 41 49 51 71 51 70	

-()

41

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

PENNSYLVANIA

County	: :		:Consideration	: Assessed	: Ratio of assessed	I
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value	:, value to con-	
<u>year</u>			:_acre	: per acre	: sideration	_
Jameron	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1907	19	5.214	3.52	1.33	38	
1908	11	857	10.01	2.50	25	-
1909	11	1,098	7.71	1.69	22	
1910	9	526	22.09	15.14	69	
1911	11	729	14.40	7.98	55	
1912	9	814	14.41	14.26	99	
1913	13	1,537	8.73	6.76	77	
1914	9	1,107	7.29	5.04	69	
1915	8	798	20.23	9.27	46	
1916	6	749	15.95	10.92	68	-
1917	6	400	27.00	18,13	71	
1918	11	902	14.84	7.32	49	
1919	14	803	10.53	6.90	66	
1920	22	1,012	27.07	12.63	47	
1921	15	1,103	12.26	8.32	68	
1922	11	333	24.36	10.68	44	
1923	9	759	11.71	8.58	73	
1924	11	1,757	12.79	4.74	37	
1925	10	10,535	4.32	3.26	7 5	
1926	9	549	17.86	9.12	51	
1927	2	112	22.32	18.15	81	- =
1928	1	122	5.74	3.77	66	
1929	1	94	37.77	15.35	41	
1930	2	109	51.38	13.45	26	
1931	2	129	31.01	13.47	43	
linton						
1918	63	6,347	27.34	16.20	59	
1919	84	5,062	50.41	33.85	67	
1920	91	5,984	34.72	18.07	52	
1921	64	4,500	39.54	25.84	65	
1922	42	3,267	40.56	22.72	56	
1923	35	2,503	34.84	19.29	55	
1924	32	2,255	34.97	15.59	45	
1925	29	2,373	29.53	14.96	51	
1926	26	1,711	29.61	21.36	72	
1927	26	2,086	29.43	15.81	54	
1928	28	2,421	23.49	14.43	61	
1929	21	1,587	41.68	29.15	70	
		-,001	47.00	~~	10	
1930	21	1.426	24.64	12.44	50	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

PENNSYLVANIA - Continued

	County			:Co			Ratio of assessed
	and :	Properties:	Acreage		per	: value :	value to con-
	year :				acre	_: per acre :	sideration
Clin	ton - C't'c	l Number	Acres	3: 1	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1932	17	1,506		23.79	16.06	68
	1933	9	395		44.30	30.68	69
		- 1		• • •			
eff	erson			1111			
	1921	142	6,380		46.32	13.83	30
	1922	82	3,884		38.84	13.66	35
	1923	71	4,102		36.33	12.59	35
	1924	45	2,705		37.15	23.09	62
	1925	43	2,107		34.00	24.87	73
	1926	55	2,530	•	32.36	24.77	77
	1927	31	1,112	1	48.63	26.03	54
	1928	33	1,722		30.90	20.90	68
	1929	23	1,332		20.91	15.33	73
	1930	20 .	904	•	26.21	20.81	79
	1931	23	1,249	,	19.28	18.10	94
	1932	28	1,484		22.78	18.01	79
	1933	17	879		26.15	14.36	55

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

	4	

County			:Consideration:		: Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value	: value to con-
year			:_ acre _ :	per acre	sideration_
3rown	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1903	1.	35	22.86	21.14	92
1928	ī	100	25.00	24.40	98
1929	ī	33	69.85	28.48	41
1930	2	161	59.01	62.92	107
1933	ı	179	17.88	29.89	167
airfield					
1902	9	652	53.19	28.44	53
1903	6	233	52.53	25.49	49
1904	13	474	53.69	24.35	45
1906	12	713	62.84	26.28	42
1907	11	515	76.21	26.33	35
1910	1	177	79.10	19.55	25
1911	4	222	60.53	63.42	105
1912	9	280	95.23	70.50	74
1917	1	186	107.53	54.03	50
1918	1	186	107.53	54.03	50
1919	1	81	86.42	63.46	73
1920	3	67	123.88	47.61	3 8
1922	1	4	375.00	147.50	39
1923	1	124	104.84	84.27	80
1924	1	60	35.00	15.17	43
1925	2	159	24.42	18.43	75
1926 ,	2	159	143.16	93.46	65
1927	′ 1	40	85.00	37.75	44
1928	1	152	33.27	20.79	62
1929	5	281	56.41	43.81	78
1932	1	132	102.48	88.56	86
1933	3	109	87.43	55.78	64
Meigs	45	-		F.J	. 1
1910	7	320	21.42	21.41	100
1911	5	217	28.47	19.82	70
1912	1	10	10.00	11.00	110
1914	2	63	24.60	16.98	69
1915	2	188	27.39	21.28	. 78
1917	3	208	49.04	28.46	58
1919	2	55	36.36	33.45	92
1920	1	31	80.65	30.32	38
1927	4	359	28.04	26.30	94
1928	3	131	76,27	55.50	73
1929	10	832	52.13	30.71	59

OHIO - Continued

	Properties:	Acreage :		: value :	value to con-
year		;	acre	: per acre :	sideration
Meigs - C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1930	3	173	21.36	14.62	68
1931	3	237	11.39	14.43	127
1932	5	. 379	19.26	24.72	128
1933	1	20	35,00	41.00	117
Morgan					
1920	13	864	43.34	29.04	67
1921	10	. 567	53.68	39.45	73
1922	12	. 878	30.48	26.40	87
1923	9	475	40.16	30.11	75
1924	7	491	39.26	35.85	91
1925	3	265	40.75	26.06	64
1926	6	. 497	17.26	23.25	135
1927	2	· 113	19.34	20.49	106
1928	8	. 300	33.58	35.42	105
1929	12	1,365	27.96	31.32	112
1930	4	298	25.86	29.16	113
1931	11	1,132	23.23	22.86	98
1932	7	538	24.01	22.96	96
1933	5	366	10.25	17.05	166
Muskingum		111 5 7			
1926	1	137	21.90	21.82	100
1927	2	194	20.62	26.34	128
1928	1	48	26.04	28.12	108
1938	1	45	5,78	8.44	146
1933	1	78	12.82	27.18	212
Van Wert			1511	FEW. V	
1902	1	51	14.51	20.39	141
1903	2	60	67.50	93.83	139
1904	10	401	77.67	97.43	125
1905	-1	42	95.24	93.81.	98
1906	15	895	81.66	94.46	116
1907	27	1,530	100.84	99.37	99
1908	22	1,109	107.95	102.64	. 95
. 1909	30	1,768	98.31	95.59	97
1910	35	2,270	130.31	100.51	77
1911	21	1,417	154.70	97.77	63
1912	41	3,071	170.98	94.26	55
1913	26	1,706	147.68	96.91	66
1914	17	1,188	153.69	93.91	61
1974	1,	1,100	100.00	20.51	01

Patic of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

OHIO - Continued

County			:Consideration	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
and and	:Properties:	Acreage		: - value :	value to con-
year ·			: acre	: per acre :	sideration
Van Wert - C	't'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1916	4	280	224.77	95.16	42
1917	10	581	189.85	100.14	53
1918	3	260	193.08	95.54	49
1920	1	60	170.00	94.00	55
1921	1	430	208.84	76.33	37
1922	10	544	243.41	104.82	43
1923	7	405	136.30	104.00	76
1924	9 .	523	109.95	96.94	88
1925	. 8	436	133.05	103.19	78
1926	i i	. 20	150.00	101.00	67
1927	5	231	117.75	75.06	64
1928	7	376	127.13	81.44	64
1929	17	817	130.00	82.58	64
1930	13	628	101.75	74.73	73
1931	10	650	58.85	65.29	111
1932	11	878	83.60	66.85	80
1933	5	396	110,37	60.33	55
1.00		0.00	110,01	00.00	1
Williams					
1901	40	2,425	39.51	19.69	50
1902	39	2,363	46.13	19.20	42
1903	29	1,585	48.39	18.76	39
1904	28	1,360	49.79	18.99	38
1905	34	2,211	61.52	21.02	34
1906	29	1,394	58.54	19.33	33
1907	28	1,679	56.78		
1908	13	566		18.18 30.00	32
1909	33		66.08		45
		1,690	67.48	24.88	37
1910	27	1,883	71.23	38.14	54
1911	28	1,720	81.84	75.95	93
1912	24	1,283	85.66	77.26	90
1913	13	737	85.48	81.41	95
1914	22	1,578	101.90	84.79	83
1915	17	1,095	110.33	84.24	76
1916	10	452	130.09	75.73	58
1917	8	536	1.11.77	97.62	87
1918	20	930	107.65	78.48	73
1919	23	1,738	107.08	75.56	71
1920	34	2,349	128.70	84.01	65
1921	29	1,687	102.38	68.08	66
1922	27	1,659	90.54	81.40	90
1923	24	1,633	83.96	74.87	89
Taro	2.4	1,000	20100		

OHIO - Continued

County : and : year :	Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
illiams-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1924	22	1,206	77.96	76.54	98
1925	27	2,020	82.58	86.14	104
1926	43	2,940	88.81	80.59	91
1927	24	1,594	85.08	77.94	92
1928	21	1,543	60.15	77.14	128
1929	17	1,115	66.82	77.19	116
1930	8	416	61.06	58.29	95
1931	10	739	47.77	53.10	111
1932	4	204	41.71	51.18	123
1933	5	440	36.82	52.78	143

12

Patic of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

OHIO - Continued ...

¥ ;	County	-	: :Properties:	Acreage	: per ···	- value	Ratio of assessed value to con-
	_year		<u> </u>		acre	per acre	:sideration
7an	Wert -	C'	t'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
v carr	1916		4	280	224.77	95.16	42
	1917		10	581	189.85	100.14	53
	1918		3	260	193.08	95.54	49
	1920		1	60	170.00	94.00	55
	1921		i	430	208.84	76.33	37
	1922		10	544	243.41	104.82	43
	1923		7	405	136.30	104.00	76
	1924		9	523	109.95	96.94	88
				436		103.19	78
	1925		8	20	133.05	103.19	67
	1926		5	231		75.06	64
	1927 1928		7	376	117.75	81.44	64
			17	817		82.58	64
	1929 1930		12	628	130.00	74.73	73
					101.75		
	1931		10	650	58.85	65.29	111
	1932		11	878	83.60	66.85	
	1933		5	396	110.37	60.33	55
Wi 1	liems						
	1901		40	2,425	39.51	19.69	50
	1902		39	2,363	46.13	19.20	42
	1903		29	1,585	48.39	18.76	39
	1904		28	1,360	49.79	18.99	38
	1905		34	2,211	61.52	21.02	34
	1906		29	1,394	58.54	19.33	33
	1907		28	1,679	56.78	18.18	32
	1908		13	566	66.08	30.00	32 45
	1909		33	1,690	67.48	24.88	45 37
	1910		27	1,883	71.23	38.14	54
	1911						
			28	1,720	81.64	75.95	93
	1912		24	1,283	85.66	77.26	90
	1913		13	737	85.48	81.41	95
	1914		28	1,578	101.90	84.79	83
	1915		17	1,095	110.33	84.24	76
	1916		10	452	130.09	75.73	58
	1917		8	536	1.11.77	97.62	87
	1918		20	930	107.65	78.48	73
	1919		23	1,738	107.08	75.56	71
	1930		34	2,349	128.70	84.01	65
	1921		29	1,687	102.38	68.08	66
	1922		27	1,659	90.54	81.40	90
	1923		24	1,633	83.96	74.87	89

OHIO - Continued

and :	Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value :	value to con- sideration
Williams-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1924	22	1,206	77.96	76.54	98
1925	27	2,020	82.58	86.14	104
1926	43	2,940	88.81	80.59	91
1927	24	1,594	85.08	77.94	92
1928	21	1,543	60.15	77.14	128
1929	17	1,115	66.82	77:19	116
1930	8	416	61.06	58.29	95
1931	10	739	47.77	53.10	111
1932	4	204	41.71	51.18	123
1933	5	440	36.82	52.78	143

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

INDIANA

County				on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	-
and.	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
year			_:acre	: per acre :	sideration	_ 4
Benton	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1920	67	8,906	247.60	167.55	68	
1921	15	1,949	221.27	146.79	66	
1922	26	3,862	218.13	165.56	76	
1923	25	3,300	179.83	168.73	94	
1924	14	1,639	176.84	149.36	84	
1925	11	938	156.13	153.79	99	- 4
1926	21	3,027	. 140.76	157.41	112	
1927	10	1,298	128.66	133.17	107	
1928	17	1,381	121.24	107.85	89	- 2
1929	15	1,819	132.16	100.32	76	
1930	13	1,939	118.98	87.21	73	
1931	15	1,917	101.11	79.21	78	
1932	7	723	77.66	78.24	101	4
1933	12	1,111	67.50	77.33	115	
Clark						
1923	79	4,397	E7.62	46.21	80	4
1924	30	1,247	65.60	50.10	76	
1925	47	2,673	31.91	24.38	76	
1926	45	2,607	30.59	22.50	74	
1927	59	3,211	29.26	25.84	88	4
1928	23	2,183	21.73	17.21	79	
1929	17	1,324	21.13	17.67	84	
1930	9	446	15.08	17.01	94	-
1931	16	683	47.99	37.14	77	- 2
1932	14	703	24.64	22.06	90	
1933	2	6	150.00	87.50	58	
Clinton						- 3
1924	28	1,743	205.95	149.37	73	
1925	55	3,398	140.86	135.61	96	
1926	17	1,020	161.94	128.77	80	4
1927	24	1,913	154.63	125.41	81	
1928	45	2,191	121.35	109.07	90	
1929	55	2,743	122.86	109.90	89	
1930	22	1,177	106.98	104.28	97	4
1931	22	1,226	110.67	110.03	99	
1932	6	305	106.09	88.99	84	
1933	24	1,523	73.94	80.20	108	4

INDIANA - Continued

•			1112	272.12		
	County			:Consideration:	Assessed	: Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acronge	: pur :	value	: value to con-
	year			:acre:	per acre	: sideration
				2		
	Crawford	Number	Acros	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1920	149	10,954	27.11	15.73	58
	1921	84	5,641	22.11	15.14	68
	1922	55	3,851	18.78	16.18	86
	1923	67	5,683	17.30	14.43	83
	1924	65	5,121	15.79	13.07	83
	1925	56	4,314	17.62	11.91	68
	1926	46	3,058	16.45	13.88	84
	1927	59	4,127	18.11	12.53	69
	1928	31	1,951	13.16	13.54	103
	1929	29	2,040	13.13	13.15	100
	1930	23	1,710	11.49	11.30	98
	1931	19	1,599	10.90	11.30	104
	1932	10	686	9.09	7.56	83
	1933	13	766	13.62	10.59	78
	1500		. 700	10.02	10.05	70
	Huntington					
	1910	150	10,196	93,54	38.42	41
	1912	156	10,697	105.17	39.33	37
	1914	143	8,935	115.74	43.25	37
	1916	140	8,028	113.71	41.06	36
	1918	152	10,517	138.43	123.59	89
	1920	209	15,647	184.25	127.98	69
	1921	106	6,845	170.02	115.68	68
	1922	106	5,963	157.91	118.43	75
	1923	74	4,533		109.06	86
				126.22		
	1924	45	3,064	114.37	104.55	91
	1925	42	2,296	109.17	99.37	91
	1926	44	2;968	92.90	87.85	95
	1927	47	2,995	89.79	81.86	91
	1928	50	3,987	88.99	77.46	87
	1929	49	2,748	78.60	78.15	99
	1930	41	2,726	78.57	79.44	101
	1931	37	2,133	71.15	81.69	115
	1932	22	1,286	62.34	67.4C	108
	1933	24	1,509	49.11	55.09	112
						4 4
	Kosciusko	4.7	g 616		20.00	
	1928	61	3,210	90.73	70.22	77
	1929	54	3,264	78.52	65.53	83
	1930	40	2,489	67.26	62.80	93
	1931	39	2,622	72.65	61.29	84
	1932	28	1,393	64.42	57.58	89
	1933	15	870	61.83	47.21	76
1						

INDIANA

County			Consideration	n. Aggagad .	Ratio of assessed	_
and.	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
year		nor oup	acre	: per acre :	sideration	
Benton	• Francis					
	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1920 1921	67	8,906	247.60	167.55	68	
	15	1,949	221.27	146.79	66	
1922	26	3,862	218.13	165.56	76	
1923	25	3,300	179.83	168.73	94	
1924	14	1,639	176.84	149.36	84	4
1925	11	938	156.13	153.79	99	
1926	21	3,027	. 140.76	157.41	112	
1927	10	1,298	128.66	138.17	107	
1928	17	1,381	121.24	107.85	89	4
1929	15	1,819	132.16	100.32	76	
1930	13	1,939	118.98	87.21	73	
1931	15	1,917	101.11	79.21	78	
1932	7	723	77.66	78.24	101	4
1933	12	1,111	67.50	77.33	115	
Clark						
1923	79	4,397	£7.62	46.21	80	4
1924	30	1,247	65.60	50.10	76	
1925	47	2,673	31.91	24.38	76	
1926	45	2,607	30.59	22.50	74	
1927	59	3,211	29.26	25.84	88	4
1928	23	2,183	21.73	17.21	79	
1929	17	1,324	21.13	17.67	84	
1930	9	446	18.08	17.01	94	
1931	16	683	47.99	37.14	77	•
1932	14	703	24.64	22.06	90	
1933	2	6	150.00	87.50	58	
Clinton						. 3
1924	28	1,743	205.95	149.37	73	
1925	55	3,398	140.86	135.61	96	
1926	17	1,020	161.94	128.77	80	
1927	24					
1928	45	1,913	154.63	125.41	81	
1929	45 55	2,191	121.35	109.07	90	
1929		2,743	122.86	109.90	89	4
	22	1,177	106.98	104.28	97	
1931	22	1,226	110.67	110.03	99	
1932	6	305	106.09	88.99	84	
1933	24	1,523	73.94	80.20	108	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

INDIANA - Continued

•			1111	IAIM - CONTINUO		
	County			:Consideration	: Assessed	: Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acronge	: per	: value	: value to con-
	year_	_1		:acre	: per acre	: sideration
	Crawford	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1920	149	10,954	27.11	15.73	58
	1921	84	5,641	22.11	15.14	68
	1922	55	3,851	18.78	16.18	86
	1923	67	5,683	17.30	14.43	83
	1924	65	5,121	15.79	13.07	83
	1925	56	4,314	17.62	11.91	68
	1926	46	3,058	16.45	13.88	84
	1927	59	4,127	18.11	12.53	69
	1928	31	1,951	13.16	13.54	103
	1929	29	2,040	13.13	13.15	100
	1930	23	1,710	11.49	11.30	98
	1931	19	1,599	10.90	11.30	104
	1932	10	686	9.09	7.56	83
•	1933	13	. 766	13.62	10.59	78
	1900	10	700	10.02	10.03	70
	Huntington					
	1910	150	10,196	93,54	38.42	41
	1912	156	10,697	105.17	39.33	37
	1914	143	8,935	115.74	43.25	37
	1916	140	8,028	113.71	41.06	36
	1918	152	10,517	138.43	123.59	89
	1920	209	15,647	184.25	127.98	69
	1921	106	6,845	170.02	115.68	68
	1922	106	5,963	157.91	118.43	75
	1923	74	4,533	126.22	109.06	86
	1924	45	3,064	114.37	104.55	91
	1925	42	2,296	109.17	99.37	91
	1926	44	2;968	92.90	87.85	95
	1927	47	2,995	89.79	81.86	91
	1928	50	3,987	88.99	77.46	87
	1929	49	2,748	78.60	78.15	99
	1930	41	2,726	78.57	79.44	101
	1931	37	2,133	71.15	81.69	115
	1932	22	1,286	62.34	67.40	108
	1933	24	1,509	49.11	55.09	112
	1800	64	1,009	49.11	00.05	
1	Kosciusko					
	1928	61	3,210	90.73	70.22	77
	1929	54	3,264	78.52	65.53	83
	1930	40	2,489	67.26	62.80	93
	1931	39	2,622	72.65	61.29	84
	1932	28	1,393	64.42	57.58	89
	1933	15	870	61.83	47.21	76
	1900	10	070	01.00	11.67	70

INDIANA - Continued

County	in a second		:Co	nsiderati	on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	
and	:Properties:	Acreage	:	por	: valuo :	value to con-	
year_			. <u>:</u> _	acre	: per acre :	sideration	_
Lawrence	Number	Acres		Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1926	105	5,872		47.72	23.84	50	
1927	75	3,256	300	50.76	23.78	47	
1928	104	6,660		40.05	19.20	48	
1929	56	2,666		42.35	19.74	47	
1930	43	2,001		42.73		58	
					24.61	55	
1931	24	1,268		23.84	13.17	65	
1932	25	1,594		19.28	12.46	80	
1933	30	2,328		19.92	15.97	80	
hio					35.0		
1900	30	2,119		20.55	13.63	66	
1901	42	2,294		25.47	16.29	64	
1902	24	1,314		23.24	12.78	55	
1903	29	1,779		37.31	20.88	56	
1904	36	2,386		29.45	15.97	54	
1905	25	1,246		31.88	16.80	53	
1906	37	1,518		42.20	26.25	62	
1907	21	1,197		35.87	21.86	61	
1908	43	2,642		33.72	17.87	53	
1909	50	2,919		36.21	16.90	47	
1910	31	1,825		45.75	18.43	40	
1911	24	1,439		35.23	15.96	45	
1912	37					40	
1912	34	2,240		35.22 37.24	14.12 17.40	47	
1913	24	1,510	Ξ.,			42	
	23	1,755		36.58	15.48		
1915	37			38.28	18.35	48	
1916		2,339		46.80	22.62	48	
1917	45	4,127		45.68	17.52	38	
1918	41	2,631	1.	61.06	26.67	44	
1919	. 64	4,406		67.06	45.22	67	
1920	28	1,527		63.08	35.14	56	
1921	16	1,381		49.24	35.64	72	
1922	16	1,407		38.41	30.63	80	
1923	21	1,236		47.03	41.40	88	
1924	10	706		59.14	48.14	81	
1925	11	527		37.87	24.71	65	
1926	7	514		47.67	32.08	67	
1927	12	831		31.81	25.26	79	
1928	8	645		35.43	24.93	70	
1929	11	721		34.30	21.17	62	
1930	3	58		23.02	28.19	122	
1931	1	16		40.00	15.94	40	

INDIANA - Continued

С	ounty :	Properties:	Acreage	: per :		: Ratio of assesses: value to con-
	year:	<u>-</u>	بر در نو بد ب	: acre_ :	per acre	: sideration
	- C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1932	5	385	13.30	12.04	91
	1933	1	160	9.58	8.66	90
Pike						
	1922	54	2,350	81.03	45.27	56
	1923	49	1,156	73.39	48.31	66
	1924	59	2,233	57.69	40.29	70
	1925	43	1,406	57.86	42.74	74
	1926	39	1,226	. 56.98	34.25	60
	1927	41	1,312	65.09	41.88	64
	1928	32	1.091	54.85	35.35	64
	1929	17	449	39.47	29.58	75
	1930	8	199	38.57	43.59	113
	1931	16	675	. 22.76	25.53	112
	1932	16	714	. 18.92	21.00	111
	1933	11	500	. 26.72	21.39	80
Rush						
	1921	23	1,674	237.48	163.80	69
	1922	31	2,810	210.22	135.49	64
	1923	22	2,179	186.35	134.64	72
	1924	31	3,002	185.81	140.04	75
	1925	25	2,206	192.26	138.43	72
	1926	20	1,737	159.28	117.02	73
	1927	16	1,046	178.20	119.47	67
	1928	20	1,673	164.69	97.01	59
	1929	11	793	137.86	91.49	66
	1930	13	884	149.21	101.53	68
	1931	19	2,050	93.51	91.75	98
	1932	25	2,564	60.41	80.83	134
	1933	11	981	70.98	65.99	, 93

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ILLINOIS

County			:Consideration	n: Assessed : F	atio of assessed	- <
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
year			_:acre	: per acre :	sideration	
Champaign	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	-4
1910	1	80	120.00	52.50	44	
1917	2	140	242.86	55.71	23	
1919	40	4,501	359.52	49.20	14	
1920	77	7,827	350.79	48.99	14	-4
1921	4	493	206.13	52.85	26	
1922	13	1,152	185.35	54.59	29	
1923	5	600	243.92	45.70	19	
1924	22	2,355	178.89	44.75	25	-6
1925	11	999	194.93	73.37	38	
1926	38	4,543	204.93	74.17	36	
1927	19	1,825	260.27	76.80	30	3
1928	18	2,033	183.64	63.19	34	-
1929	22	2,711	174.37	56.36	32	
1930	28	2,543	193.00	57.34	30	
1931	19.	1,400	193.81	54.74	28	1
1932	8	962	80.82	45.43	56	
1933	10	1,025	82.58	45.97	56	
	•	2,000	0.000	2000		
Cumberland						4
1927	30	2,524	48.11	23.16	48	
1929	20	1,216	55.67	20.56	37	
1930	2	125	32.80	10.08	31	
1931	24	1,258	40.40	14.59	36	4
1933	18	1,457	32.52	11.43	35	
		1	44			
McDonough						1
1929	17	1,316	100.10	37.73	38	
1930	11	700	117.70	49.83	42	
1931	8	609	101.99	39.07	38	
1932	6	414	70.27	43.33	62	4
1933	9	1,016	45.35	35.07	77	
W						
Morgan	CO	E 057	03.0.0%	75.20	34	
1920	62	5,257	219.03			-
1922	40	3,457	119.55	74.93	63	
1924	29	3,025	112.22	77.31	69	
1926	25	2,827	103.27	59.18	57	1
1928	28	3,303	76.03	63.18	83	
1929	24	2,349	69.94	46.14	66	
1930	28	2,505	72.52	55.24	76	
• 1931	23	2,504	81.43	48.20	59	-2

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ILLINOIS - Continued

	County			:Consideration	: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year			:acre	:_per_acre :	sideration
	Morgan - C't'	d. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1932	26	3,201	53.82	53.18	99
	1933	13	1,319	38.27	32.52	85
	1300			4		
	Ogle				74.36	50
>	1916	26	3,363	148.52	76.66	44
	1918	26	3,111	174.84	98.26	43
	1919	6	816	227.72	72.06	39
-	1920	101	13,273	187.08	56.33	35
•	1921	1	420	160.00	73.62	47
	1922	11	1,632	158.04	64.86	45
	1923	3	401	142.93	79.43	44
10	1924	12	1,308	180.23	50.04	43
7	1926	7	576	116.13	52.24	47
	1927	6	642	110.25	62.68	46
	1928	20.	1.,908	137.72	52.57	38
•	1929	11	977	138.63		66
	1930	6	445	71.91	47.15	55
	1931	11	1,151	90.02	49.56	59
	1932	11	1,197	78.34	45.91	73
•	1933	8	1,059	77.44	56.88	73
	Washington					
	1927	11	917	50.56	24.83	49
•	1928	1	33	31.82	30.76	97
	1929	2	100	54.00	25.80	48
	1931	11	1,051	26.72	17.25	65
1427	1932	. 8	398	49.76	31.65	64
	1933	14	1,384	37.08	24.67	67
	Wayne					
w	1929	64	3,818	35.77	19.08	53
	1930	7	360	24.88	11.64	47
	1931	58	3,384	27.39	15.97	58
	1932	68	4,054	23.22	13.78	59
•	1933	56	3,436	20.68	19.07	92
				-54-7		

MICHIGAN

and year	:Properties:	Acreage	: per : acre	: value : : per acre :	value to con- sideration
ass	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1927	19	790	67.49	37.66	56
1928	10	286	42.66	20.63	48
1929	4	232	50.00	47.75	96
1930	8	714	39.36	50.00	127
1931	10	168	42.86	63.10	147
1932	6	324	23.15	18.75	81
1933	6	194	40.21	51.29	128
Ingham					
1928	17	1,072	81.73	63.42	78
1929	6	296	64.36	59.97	93
1930	6	461	71.99	49.89	69
1931	2	39	37.18	58.97	159
1932	2	160	30.00	31.25	104
lecosta					
1925	81	5,179	25.55	22.79	89
1926	72	4,296	30.56	23.28	76
1927	92	5,112	29.56	23.00	78
1928	45	3,377	26.66	21.82	82
1929	36	2,055	28.68	26.39	. 92
1930	28	1,720	22.50	18.92	84
1931	52	2,952	23.97	23.21	97
1932	29	1,770	21.38	20.59	96
1933	27	1,506	24.50	21.55-	88
Saginaw					
1928	67	2,828	60.24	52.42	87
1929	49	2,936	49.64	43.04	87
1930	39	2,294	46.09	43.58	95
1931	23	1,083	51.50	43.24	84
1932	21	1,290	30.64	29.65	97
1933	9	657	28.41	40.18	141

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

WISCONSIN

•	County			:Considerati	on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year	: :		: acre	: per acre :	sideration
						Percent
	Adams	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	110
	1928	15	1,908	14.44	15.86	74
	1929	28	4,111	19.73	14.67	74
•	1930	11	1,223	20.54	15.99	
	1931	12	1,387	19.84	17.19	87
	1932	7	573	9.54	11.74	123
	1933	9	810	19.03	13.86	73
>						
	Columbia					
	1928	16	1,335	90.34	68.53	76
	1929	21	1,665	67.43	58.16	86
•	1930	22	2,062	69.58	63.55	91
	1931	19	2,491	67.57	55.82	83
	1932	13	1,630	40.15	39.55	99
	1933	8	913	62.43	47.95	77
•	1000					
	Dane					
	1928	1	50	60.00	47.20	79
	1929	2	270	125.93	91.15	72
	1930	10	968	147.98	86.14	58
	1931	14	1,531	98.15	91.29	93
	1932	16	1,517	66.65	73.93	111
	1933	19	1,823	62.77	60.03	96
	1900		1,020			
	Green					
	1928	12	1,355	115.37	108.59	94
•	1929	24	2,759	79.01	93.80	119
	1930	20	1,788	86.48	90.79	105
	1931	7	619	50.50	60.03	119
	1932	5	859	52.89	70.49	133
•	1933	8	1,130	58.26	62.91	108
	1900	· ·	1,100	0000		
	Iron					
147	1928	11	725	18.74	14.50	77
•	1929	15	892	22.60	18.46	82
	1930	4	320	17.16	15.12	88
	1931	2	80	13.75	12.50	91
-	1932	î	80	31.25	20.62	66
	1933	2	. 37	33.78	24.73	73
	1900	۵	, 07	00.70	#J# 10	, ,

WISCONSIN - Continued

1. 6	County					Ratio of assessed	_ 4
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
	year			: acre	: per acre :	sideration	
Pepir	n	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	4
	1928	15	1,493	61.77	42.89	69	
	1929	26	2,460	57.72	41.35	72	
	1930	22	1,978	55.96	38.51	69	
	1931	13	1,498	38.28	30.59	80	4
	1932	7	486	34.98	24.98	71	
	1933	4	274	42.70	48.52	114	
D4.							4
Porta		0.0	0.400	43. 40	5 4 00	0.4	
	1930	20	2,402	41.62	34.96 37.41	84 87	
	1931 1932	15 12	1,237	27.19	26.97	99	
	1932	8	1,296 636		34.12	140	4
	1900	8	636	24.33	34.12	140	
Rusk	3 = -						
	1931	11.	1,010	15.63	12.64	81	
	1932	16	1,048	12.88	13.80	107	
	1933	21	1,604	12.60	12.06	96	
Coule							
Sauk	1928	5	42	523.81	530.36	101	4
	1929		20	1,115.00	1,035.00	93	
	1930	2 4	33	490.91	391.82	80	
	1930	8	65	276.15	287.42	104	- 7
	1931	13	157	409.24	464.69	114	•
	1933	10	107	454.51	431.78	95	
				. 1			
Sawye							4
	1928	4	200	22.85	11.20	49	
	1930	4	505	4.59	2.92	64	
	1931	3	183	18.03	11.20	62	
	1932	2	120	17.92	7.92	44	
	1933	4	240	10.75	9.92	92	
Waupa	ca						
pc	1928	32	2,877	69.64	61.36	88	4
	1929	16	1,504	51.43	40.04	78	
	1930	25	2,266	62.63	54.47	87	
	1931	17	1,006	66.35	63.12	95	,
	1932	13	1,122	58.16	64.38	111	,
	1933	.9	572	50.66	57.47	113	

Ratio Af assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

WISCONSIN - Continued

	County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	· per :	Assessed value per acre	Ratio of assessed value to consideration
*	Wood 1930 1931 1932 1933	Number 13 11 15 7	Acres 1,101 816 815 369	Dollars 64.67 69.36 64.10 49.72	Dollars 54.42 59.34 45.03 47.87	Percent 84 86 70 96
•						

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate:

MINNESOTA

County	: :Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	Rutio of assessed value to con-	- 1
year_			_: acre	: per acre :	_ sideration	-
Brown	Number	Acres	Dollars	Doliars	Percent	
1928	21	2,769	108.38	31.58	29	*
1929	26	2,978	122.52	. 35.15	29	1 2
1930	32	4,463 .	109.40	31.55	29	
1931	12	1,555 .	112.66	33.05	29	
1932	15	1,836	70.68	26.33	37	100
1933	11	1,058	48.33	27.47	57 ⁻	
Clay						
1900	71	12,067	12.07	5.40	45	
1901	95	16,613	17.48	7.03	40	
1902	81	16,661	23.67	8.44	36	1.7
1903	57	11,388	27.91	9.93	36	
1904	40	7,648	24.85	8.69	35	
1905	29	5,525	30.67	10.22	33	
1906	18	3,464	26.45	9.15	35	
1907	26	4,731	26.33	7.73	29	
1908	32	6,416	30.87	9.75	32	4
1909	18	4,780	40.48	9.27	23	
1910	20	4,271	39.08	10.11	26	
1911	26	5,672	38.97	9.50	24	
1912	46	9,432	48.31	12.11	25	4
1913	18	3,032	53.84	13.58	25	
1914	25	4,881	54.64	14.70	27	
	19	4,259	55.17	13.77	25	
1915	23	4,618	54.19	12.45	23	4
1916 1917	16		60.60	15.40	25	
	14	3,478 2,714	74.52	18.53	25	
1918			65.53	17.31	26	
1919	22	4,322	77.37	21.33	28	
1920	37	6,700			22	
1921	3	438	94.75	21.06	36	
1922	8	1,289	59.36	21.48		
1923	10	1,121	67.42	21.07	31	
1924	3	1,263	45.06	20.32	45	
1925	3	480	57.29	20.79	36	
1926	8	1,318	61.67	15.93	26	-
1927	4	834	44.12	15.71	36	-
1928	15	1,923	55.53	16.92	30	
1929	10	1,203	56.03	18.92	34	
1930	8	1,215	54.04	19,04	37	4
1931	3	460	47.50	14.24	30	
• 1932	3	560	46.31	10.56	23	
1933	3	720	40.87	11.79	29	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of form real estate

MINNESOTA - Continued

County			:Consideration:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
an.d	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :		
year _	J	1-1	: _ acre :	per acre	
Lake	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1932	7	463	18.27	3.53	19
1933	3	. 72	13.76	2.83	21
Meeker	16	1,255	99.35	29.21	. 29
1929	23	1,617	87.45	29.74	34
1930	23 34	3,677	72.28	26.12	36
1931			58.03	23.90	41
1932	16	1,351	50.12	23.07	46
1933	. 12	807	50.12	20.01	
Morrison					26
1928	54	4,496	52.41	13.41	24
1929	66	5,097	53.97	12.92	
1930	54	4,267	40.93	12.01	29
1931	49	4,753	34.13	10.03	29
1932	18	1,754	31.29	9.16	29
1933	13	1,539	24.73	9.39	38
Ottertail					
1928	76	5,942	52.36	13.14	25
1929	66	6,583	44.52	12.84	29
	53	4,743	47.48	12.19	26
1930	44	4,599	40.09	11.51	29
1931		4,906	29.34	8.76	30 .
1932	49	2,866	28.34	7.65	28
1933	28	2,000	20.04	,,,,,	
Winona			4 × × *	00.50	38
1928	10	1,363	75.79	28.50	
1929	10	1,215	96.91	23.80	25
1930	S	1,270	76.85	23.49	31
1931	10	1,598	51.44	19.92 ,	39
1932	14	1,557	56.24	18.06	32
1933	7	466	45.60	18.06	40

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

AWOI

County	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Acreage	: per :	Assessed value per acre	: Ratio of assessed : value to con- : sideration
year					T
	Number	Acres	Dollars.	Dollars	Percent
Adair 1900	3	239	57.74	36.71	64
1900	4	475	59.89	37.51	63
	6	709	58.39	35.32	60
1902	2	171	58.63	44.65	76
1903	7	423	70.09	41.77	. 60
1904	3	245	73.16	40.73	56
1905	5	276	69.57	36.42	52
1906	2	479	80.29	38.77	48
1907	4	516	77.60	38.54	50
1908	4	938	103.62	45.66	44
1909	1	120	108.33	47.73	44
1911	5	181	113.26	43.96	39
1912 1914	Š	142	173.77	57.07	33
1914	2	203	150.39	71.00	47
1915	4	237	183.12	67.76	37
1917	5	92	226.09	61.00	27
1918	6	521	206.53	66.25	32
1919	7	680	-235.93	72.90	31
1920	9	823	223.08	62.98	28
1921	ĭ	158	192.41	73.52	38
1922		306	113.40	74.98	66 .
1923	2	189	234.00	73.25	31
1924	3	311	174.92	67.02	38
1925	2 2 3 3 3	382	138.74	63.27	46
1926	3 .	207	192.59	65.84	34
1928	6	557	151.93	60.71	40
1929	3	467	149.89	65.52	44
1930	4	401	127.26	45.92	36
1931	8	786	117.53	50.38	43
1932	4	391	116.93	52.29	45
1933	2	102	133.09	42.70	, 32
Adams					19
1/900	3 .	332	35.24	6.67	22
1901	5	569	31.13	6.83	14
1902	11	2,192	46.10	6.68	19
1903	1	80	45.00	8.55	14
1904	5	213	68.47	9.45	13
1905	6	980	64.38	8.59	13
1906	3	303	68.10	. 9.20	13
, 1907	S	175	68.86	9.20	14
1908	2	401	64.05	9.00	7.3

IOWA - Continued

County					Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year	. .	+	tacre	_:_per_acre :	sideration_
Adams - C't'd	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1909	5	820	78.14	9.55	12
1910	. 7	1,225	90.80	9,27	10
1911	1	149	86.65	10.15	12
1912	8	721.	105.96	10.71	10
- 1913	6	720	112.10	14.83	13
1914		415	138.92	13.66	11
1915	. S	236	108.05	14.90	14
1916	3	215	105.12	15.17	14
1917	2	192	166.46	16.49	10
1918	7	692	126.82	15.28	12
1919	.4	457	175.93	16.74	10
1920	18	2,260	229.12	17.55	8
1921	7	625	210.72	17.09	8
1922	.5.	116	90.69	17.67	19
1923	. 2	235	182.13	20.03	11
1925	2 3	174	88.51	13.23	15
1926	3	429	101.05	14.60	14
1927	3	154	122.58	14.69	12
1928	1	126	92.06	14.11	15
1929	4	323	140.87	15.12	11
1930	. 4	469	91.80	10.47	11
1300		403	91.60	10.47	
Appanoose					
1916	3	255	99.61	14.97	15
1917	. 5	160	83.36	11.27	14
1919	12	564	123.24	9.39	8
1920	32	3,817	153.56	11.29	7
1921	5	550	65.00	6.31	10
1922	6	615	157.89	10.62	7
1923	3	310	110.65	12.57	11
1924	4	160	66.19	5.72	9
1925	1	40	62.50	11.18' '	18
1927	4	160	104.33	9.79	9 `
1928	S	130	33.46	.7.60	17
1929	2	85	108.24	6.79	6
1930	3	73	109.66	8.11	7
1931	× 1	. 80	50.00	8.54	17
1932	· 3	51	21.33	11.51	54
1933	. 2	izo	75.00	25.52	34

IOWA - Continued

County		140			Ratio of assessed	
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value :	value to con-	1
<u>year</u> _	_	1	_: acre:	_per_acre :	sideration	
Audubon	Number ::	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1900	13	1,611	38.37	8.43	55	
1901	12	1,000	41.29	8.91	22	
1903	4.1.	589	59.31	11.76	20	
1905	9	1,386	62.01	10.65	17	
1907	1	80	72.51	10.59	15	4
1909	1	120	115.00	17.92	16	
1910	1	35	101.60	11.14	11	
1911	1	80	150.00	13.35	9	
1912	5	323	116.10	13.52	12	-4
1913	11	880	108.86	18.10	17	
1914	1	333	155.32	18.40	12	. 0
1915	3 .	160	120.00	19.25	16	
1916	5	184	200.00	20.38	10	4
1917	2	240	173.75	20.52	12	3
1918	16	1,546	209.36	20.60	10	
1919	19	1,707	220.42	20.58	9	.(
1920	1887 .	22,971	243.66	19.71	8	'
1921	48	5,598	250.33	26.75	11	
1922	40	3,695	186.74	21.75	12	
1923	46	4,687	159.56	20.86	13	4
1924	38	3,986	140.64	19.42	14	
1925	33	3,167	142.61	18.92	13	
1926	34	4,174	148.39	18.45	12	
1927	33	3,265	127.85	17.73	14	4
1928	33	3,524	151.59	17.25	11	
1929	30	2,723	138.96	18.42	13	
1930	35	2,968	150.02	17.46	12	
1931	24 .	2,564	116.42	17.13	15	1
1932	16	1,768	81.27	17.25	21	
1933	9	648	144.44	57.78	40	
Renton						4
	L P					
1916	1	60	316.67	34.48	11	
1920	23	2,735	286.51	22.64	8	
1921	7	1,449	233.81	20.98	9	-
1922	1	160	250.00	23.12	9	
1923	3	425	228.19	20.79	9	
1924	4	619	. 162.01	19.88	12	
1925	3	398	171.01	18.67	11	
1926	1	80	200.00	22.89	11	
, 1927	2	240	183.33	18.93	10	

IOWA - Continued

	County and year	: :Properties	Acreage	per :	value :	Ratio of assessed value to con-
	year			:acre:	per_acre :	sideration_
I	Benton-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1928	3	280	217.86	18.34	8.
	1929	3	520	126.29	18.51	15
	1930	2	340	191.18	19.13	10
	1931	ĩ	240	170.83	20.30	12
	1932	i	20	100.00	19.00	19
				100.00	. /•00	13
Ι	Boone					
	1919	1	79	283.54	23.94	8
	1920	13	1,427	313.51	27.42	9
	1923	S	233	185.41	23.91	13
	1925	1	80	180.00	22.00	12
	1926	1	156	139.29	19.94	14
	1927	2	131	162.05	21.18	13
	1933	1	38	105.26	17.45	17
				a denti.		
B	remer			8 4		
	1900	21	1,030	44.57	35.92	81
	1901	55	1,432	46.93	35.39	75
	1908	17	1,196	44,62	32.54	73
	1903	15	906	51.68	46.28	90
	1904	10	707	58.62	44.85	77
	1905	8	394	87.48	39.88	46
	1906	15	583	57.29	37.92	66
	1907	10	706	50.00	35.34	71
	1908	9	861	75.04	37.95	51
	1909	12	1,081	68.61	42.43	62
	1910	19	1,215	64.65	40.14	62
	1911	17	1,176	86.17	50.95	59
	1912	15	1,267	90.63	49.97	55
	1913	25	1,916	105.83	54.64	52
	1914	9 -	958	101.86	70.65	69
	1915	7	500	98.05	57.47	59
	1916	6	252	156.87	72.38	46
	1917	11 .	739	156.20	69.25	44
	1918	6	398	136.43	67.80	50
	1919	17	1,731	181.84	82.74	46
	1920	146	15,483	190.25	79.47	42
	1921	5	635	133.56	56.65	42
	1922	4	144	245.83	83.28	34
	1923	16	920	171.05	. 74.10	43
	1924	16	803	136.50	78.67	58
	1925	6	798	114.51	69.18	60

IOWA - Continued

County		<u>-</u>	onsiderat	lon:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage :	per	1	value :	
year	1-1-1		acre		per acre	
		W 48 8 7 3				<u>sidelation</u>
Bremer-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars		Dollars	Percent
1926	6	371	83.42		46.26	55
1927	8	537	92.09		70.31	76
1928	5	273	104.78		63.13	
1929	23	2.376	107.06		72.00	60
1930	13	1,070	106.15		72.00	67
1931	7	479	114.61			68
1932	16	1,259	99.88		69.73	61
1933	10	644			64.81	65
7.50	10	0.4.4	98.25		92.83	94
Calhoun				1		
1900	242	32,069	45.00			
1901	304	39,399	43.80		8.23	19
1902	346		51.69		8.48	16 •
1903	198	50,039	58.21		9.51	16
1904	86	25,191	75.41		11.63	15
1905	106	8,763	77.47		11.63	15
1906		12,086	75.87		11.27	15
1907	159	18,144	81.13		11.95	15
1908	183	21,491	80.53		11.72	15
1908	159	21,520	73.08		11.77	16
1910	13	1,922	81.89		11.79	14
1910	7	616	81.36		10.96	13
Carroll						
2211111111111						
1920 1921	51	6,475	285.67	-	22.64	8 -
	11	1,031	342.54	i	22.57	7
1922	3	. 215	197.21	1	23.02	12
1923	7	816	277.85	.1 .	20.43	7
1924	4	354	243.71		22.11	9
1925	8	888	194.20		19.61	10
1926	5	447	196.64		. 19.93	10
1927	6	567	176.70		18.54	10
1928	1	50	125.00		17.40	14
1929	3	306	90.88	4. 1	16.09	18
1930	12	1,219	154.80		18.27	12
1931	7	428	172.23		18.94	11
1932	5	195	143.59		. 18.73	13
1933	3	272	180.70		. 53.20	29
						23
Cass						
1919	5	523	223.80		79.60	36
1920	13	2,269	248.60		72.89	
1922	2		217.23		70.98	29
	11.5	I Hak			10.50	33

IOWA - Continued

					Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	County				value :	value to con-
•	and	:Properties:	Acreage	per	per acre :	sideration
	year	_ ii-		_:_ <u>acre</u> :	Thei acre .	= = = = = = = =
					Dollars	Percent
	Cass-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	72.08	58
	1926	2	199	125.13		48
	1929	3	400	143.95	69.51	41
	1930	1	40	165.00	67.60	51
	1932	1	· 119	137.14	69.95	
	1933	2	270	129.63	64.81	50
	Cedar				-5.75	12
	1919	1	100	210.00	25.75	10
	1922	1	432	155.00	14.74	
	1923	2	186	174.73	20.74	12
	1924	2	858	156.08	14.84	10
	1926	ž	378	248.48	24.47	10
	1928	ĩ	12	83.33	13.00	16
	1929	i	120	140.00	21.69	15
	Chickasaw			45.11	9.40	21
	1900	5	793	17.88	8.58	48
	1901	3	425		7.98	17
	1902	3	512	46.95	10.32	16
	1903	3	316	63.83	7.91	14
	1904	3	359	56.24		16
	1905	1	117	61.54	9.83	25
	1906	2 3	31.5	40.63	10.15	25 17
	1907	3	616	55.13	9.11	
	1908	5	562	59.01	9.72	16
	1909	4	586	59.32	11.05	19
	1910	. 3	267	66.45	8.46	13
	1911	3	312	82.48	11.60	14
	1912		193	72.33	12.00	17
	1913	2	755	98.28	15.56	16
		6	782	119.36	15.32	13
	1914	8	941	118.46	15.04	13
	1915	3	320	100.19	15.13	15
	1916	4	573	122.16	16.73	14
	1918		586	133.11	17.29	13
	1919	4		160.20	17.06	11
	1980	5	353	142.49	17.99	
	1921	1	178		18.00	10
	1923	2 .	136	175.59	12.98	17
	1929	1 .	97	77.32		16
	1930	1	275	61.82	10.20	14
	1931	1	274	88.40	12.53	1.4

IOWA - Continued

4

County				nsiderati	on:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	
and	:Properties:	Acreage		per	:	value :	value to con-	
year	_ 1		_1_	_acre	_;	_per_acre :	sideration	1
Crawford	Number	Acres		Dollars		Dollars	Percent	
1900	6	750		47.73		9.10	19	
1901	9	1,400		41.54		8.51	20	4
1902	14	1,746		55.37		9.04	16	
1903	13	1,800		55.35		9.75	18	
1904	1	79		35.44		11.08	31	97
1905	5	1,011		78.10		10.94	14	1
1906	7	917		81.03		10.71	13	
1907	4	456		94.61		10.43	11	
1908	9	1,622		92.12).×	10.76	12	-
1909	5	855		89.94		13.92	15	- 17
1910	10	1,338	1.10	102.38		13.16	13	•
1911	2	237	1 Y	116.88		13.05	11	
1912	2	296		151.38		14.14	9	4
1913	10	1,706		134.33		19.71	15	
1914	3	452		159.87		19.89	12	
1915	ĭ	79		158.99		22.51	14	
1916	6	930		168.43		21.65	13	4
1917	5	657		213.88		20.25	9	
1918	4	314		179.30		20.18	11	
1919	3	747		173.63		23.67	14	
1920	53	7,698		232.61		23.15	10	4
1921	21	2,825		283.68		23.83	8	
1922	8 .	709		201.40		22.66	11	
1923	11	1,834	40.0	171.29		25.39	15	
1924	12	1,187		167.71	1 =	24.68	15	4
1925	9	833		95.99		20.38	21	
1926	11	1,718		175.10		20.66	12	
1927	4	548		160.53		23.61	15	
	4	175		111.58		31.78	28	
1928	8	608		143.26		18.58	13	
			. =			18.87	12	
1930	6	782		155.75			11	4
1931	4	435	No. 3	180.46		19.08	14	-
1932	3	353		118.98		16.67	14	
Decatur								Na
1900	4	617		26.42		4.60	17	1
1901	13	732		34.45		5.82	17	
1902	7	588		30.70		5.63	18	
1903	4 .	344		44.48		6.48	15	
1904	4	317		42.74		6.21	15	- 10
1905	10	426		34.21		5.35	16	
		2				NA		

IOWA - Continued

County and year	: :Properties:	Acrease	. 202	value :	Ratio of assessed value to consideration
			Dollars	Dollars	Percent
Decatur-C't'		Acres	37.22	5.65	15
1906	5	176		7.21	15
1907	5	421	48.72	6.06	16
1908	6	289	38.24	14.04	11
1919	15 .	1,555	131.83	11.82	10
1920	19	2,552	122.50	14.30	13
1922	5	312	109.86	16.74	13
1923	6	153	126.31	12.22	12
1924	9 .	803	103.66	10.36	15
1925	6 .	356	66.95	11.80	11
1926	2 .	310	108.52	9.83	9
1927	6	381	111.62	13.98	16
1929	7	398	88.69	5.62	10
1930	1	130	58.33	7.09	35
1931	3	108	20.37		18
1932	4	500	51.50	9.12	10
Des Moines		167	49.70	46.73	94
1900	4	415	68.63	44.70	65
1901	6	980	64.51	49.55	77
1902	13	414	93.24	53.77	58
1903	6		90.15	55.89	62
1904	10	1,038	83.88	54.20	65
1905	10	587 658	93.02	56.05	60
1906	10		143.76	56.09	39
1907	4	425	118.41	58.87	50
1908	5	557	161.73	58.36	36
1909	8	520	130.54	55.02	42
1910	13	1,234	175.87	54.01	31
1911	6	489	135.11	58.87	44
1912	8	789	193.08	66.92	35
1913	6	439	193.08	85.72	45
1914	9	672	142.23	75.21	53
1915	8	816		85.24	86
1916	6 .	599	99.58	88.81	46
1917	3 .	257	193.00	90.05	48
1918	10	1,154	185.69	94.70	45
1919	10	912	211.81	87.53	34
1920	15	1,698	256.54	77.18	33
1921	5	160	236.25	88.37	39
1922	1	60	225.00	45.04	24
1923	2	50	190.50	40.04	. ~-

IOWA - Continued

	County and year	: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :	Acreage	: per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration	•
Des	Moines-C'	t'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
	1924	2	133	240.60	97.89	41	
	1925	1	42	197.62	72.76	37	4
	1926	1 .	103	139.81	83.82	60	
	1927	4	360	167.69	87.11	52	
	1.928	1	130	150.00	79.33	53	
	1929	3	172	122.97	83.33	68	4
	1930	3	240	189.12	78.60	42	
				m Heat			
Emm					10000		
	1900	19	2,221	27.40	6.22	23	•
	1901	18	2,569	34.35	6.09	18	
	1908	21	3,544	38.34	6.14	16	
	1903	10	1,328	35.72	5.27	15	The same
	1904	5	750	38.79	6.72	17	
	1905	5	320	50.00	8.67	17 18	1
	1906	8	990	39.97	7.21		
	1907	7 .	903	40.91	7.04	17	4
	1908	8	1,643	52.22	7.30	14 15	
	1909	8	1,235	39.36	5.76		
	1910	11	1,723	59.45	7.77	13	
	1911	11	1,947	63.17	6.35 8.38	10 12	4
	1912	13	1,953	68.71	7.52	9	
	1913	11	1,587	84.55 92.68	11.96	13	
	1914	19	3,183	109.27	11.14	10	147
	1915	12 5	1,775 894	89.99	12.45	14	
	1916 1917	5	180	102.22	14.59	14	
	1917	7	1,062	98.94	14.59	15	
	1919	9	1,582	147.41	17.08	12	
	1920	14	2,328	170.10	62.40	37	
	1921	2	350	122.22	49.86	41	
	1922	2	310	181.97	69,08	38	
	1923	2	226	269.91	74.92	28	4
	1924	3	264	86.36	56.27	65	
	1925	4	525	89.52	59.74	67	
	1929	2	240	119.17	52.87	44	
	1930	2	72	150.00	67.06	45	4
	1933	ĩ	303	157.92	73.25	46	
	2000						
Flo	yd				,-		4
	1919	1	208	231.13	72.18	31	
14	1920	20 .	1,707	227.94	67.06	29	

IOWA - Continued

Country	:= := := := := := := := := := := := :=	شاحدت خاد	. Considerati		Logoppood.	: Ratio of assessed
County	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	.011.	value	value to con-
year	.Froperties.	Acroage	acre_		per acre	
year	<u></u> -				7,61,700,0	
Floyd-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars		Dollars	Percent
1921	2	409	169.62		64.51	38
1922	1	319	143.61		66.99	47
1923	5	181	160.58		60.81	38
1924	1	60	236.67	0.01	73.75	31
1925	3	140	167.14		65.46	39
1926	2	118	122.03		61.46	50
1928	3	550	161.36		49.83	. 31
1929	1	80	162.50		67.45	42
1930	i	22	181.82		68.36	38
1931	i i	5	70.00		34.40	49
1301					01110	
Franklin						
1900	5	760	41.29	85140	. 30.54	74
1901	7	1,395	49.73		33.76	68
1902	4	555	67.39		34.70	51
1903	1	167	38.00		29.89	79
1906	i	319	56.00		36.36	65
1907	1	80	50.00		31.50	63
1908	2	320	65.62		36.08	55
1909	ĩ	160	57.50		35.12	61
1910	2	440	57.77		37.14	64
1911	ž	55	63.64		41.38	65
1919	ĩ	160	155.00		64.02	41
1920	13	2,416	203.82		62.26	31
1924	3	516	140.56		99.06	70
1927	2	400	244.00		77.05	32
1931	3	580	117.93		66.30	56
1933	1	160	87.50		53.74	61
1300	• '	100	07.50		00.74	01
Greene						
1920	55	3,465	247.12		72.37	29
1921	4	1,147	148.21		79.63	54
1922	1 .	162	224.23		79.75	36
1924	2	121	125.68		86.99	69
1925	ĩ	200	190.00		83.82	44
1926	ī	80	195.00		84.10	43
1928	2	160	93.75		65.42	70
1929	ĩ	27	124.70		76.37	61
1930	2	373	193.36		77.59	40
. 1931	3	335	93.28		53.95	58
	5	1,909	63.80			

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

IOWA - Continued

County	· Demonts					Ratio of assessed	-(
and	:Properties:	Acreage	100	per :	value	value to con-	
year _	_ i	:		acre:	per_acre	: _ sideration	
Grundy	Number	Acres	D	ollars	Dollars	Percent	
1904	1	130		93.05	49.14	53	
1917	3	252	2	13.49	88.33	41 ·	
1918	5 .	332	1	99.24	87.57	44	
1920	6	816	2	77.65	94.35	34	4
1921	3	360	3	39.17	91.86	27	
1924	3	430		52.74	87.04	57	
1926	5	581		89.01	76.95	41	
1928	1	124		60.00	78.42	49	1 4 4
1929	i	160		51.00	74.52	49	
1931	4	420		26.19	71.57	57	
1932	i	80		77.06	58.60	76	
Fancock							20
1900	50	7,564		32.57	23.74	73	
1901	93	14,857		35.79	23.73	66	
1902	104	17,159		41.53	24.46	59	1 1
1903	30	4,996		49.11	31.33	64	-
1904	30	4,663		54.19	32.30	60	
1905	27	4,099		48.31	31.36	65	
1906	21	3,276		53.06	29.05	55	
1907	32	6,451		49.49	29.23	59	
1908	25	3,838		66.17	32.08	48	
1909	32	5,304		51.50	29.89	58	1
1910	40	6,073		65.93	32.67	50	
1911	40	5,694		59.92	36.30	61	
1912	49	8,343		67.67		55	
1913	43	7,610		75.62	37.51 53.00	70	1
1913	53						
1914	29	8,985		91.93	60.38	66	
1915		4,434		05.41	54.33	52	
	41	6,083		14.01	54.50	48	
1917	37	6,789		17.70	51.26	44	
1918	43	6,866		30.26	54.15	42	
1919	37	5,151		45.14	63.55	44	
1920	60	9,463		53.60	63.15	39	1
1921	25	3,773		72.64	62.08	36	
1922	. 3	580		53.36	58.76	38	
1923	9	1,252		14.91	60.96	42	
1924	3	438		74.43	63.75	86	4
1925	9	1,255		25.86	58.51	46	
1926	4 .	547		14.42	58.54	41	
1927	. 5	705		00.43	52.49	52	
1928	1	80	1:	25.00	59.65	48	4

IOWA - Continued

	County			: Considerati	on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year	L J.		_:_ <u>acre</u>	: per acre :	sideration
Hom	cock-C't'd.					
Hall	1929		Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1929	3	283	67.14	56.00	83
		3	215	120.00	57.93	48
	1932	2	199	108.54	57.71	53
How	rison					
	1900					
		. 3	269	33.13	8.93	27
	1901	2.	320	19.03	1.99	10
	1902	9	1,708	38.96	8.97	23
	1903	2	215	29.54	4.80	16
	1904	3	257	36.77	6.82	19
	1905	1	140	37.14	7.75	
	1906	1,-4	80	30.61	5.78	21
	1907	1 .	20	25.00	2.85	19
	1908	5	946	68.92	5.07	11
	1909	3	116	55.17		7
	1910	3	410	41.22	7.78	14
	1911	4	487	75.02	11.42	28
	1913	4 .	143		11.57	15
	1914	3 .	422	92.48	11.69	13
	1915	3	869	122.51	19.41	16
	1916	ĭ	25	134.22	16.07	12
	1917	6	903	80.00	9.28	12 .
	1918	4		118.55	19.36	16
	1919	5	506	115.61	16.36	14
	1920	14	350	211.43	75.79	36
	1921	6	1,960	191.39	74.98	39
	1922	9	1,012	162.88	74.26	46
	1923		794	163.60	71.71	44
	1925	3	538	165.06	77.09	47
	1926	5	321	97.66	45.40	46
	1927	1	110	61.83	36.80	60
	1928	1	39	153.85	58.77	38
	1928	10	835	126.77	47.47	37
	1939	10	868	122.55	52.32	43
	1930	3 .	246	109.15	61.89	57
		4	188	121.28	62.89	52
	1932	2	90	94.44	55.13	58
	1933	2 .	94	89.36	44.13	49
enry						37
y	1920	17				
	1921	13	951	275.51	102.83	37
	1921	4	249	168.27	55.05	33
	1366	5	247	226.32	112.96	50

IOWA - Continued

1		- Co		
		· Consideratio	ne Agga-	=
:Properties:	Acreage	per	Assessed:	Ratio of assessed
			volution:	value to con-
			per_acre :	_ sideration
	Acres	Dollars	D-112 37 3	
	488	217.17	Dollars	Percent
	200	191.25		38
5	50	171.76		39
2	135			79
	461			53
		110 70		44
		151.60		47
4		101.52	57.25	38
2		192.35	79.35	41
7.55	221	162.90	63.19	39
_ (C +4.ii				03
11	1 460			
			70.01	70
		254.74		30
		150.00		23
		. 170.12		50
		: 186.93		44
6		140.00		41
5		159.99	05.68 65.45	37
	80			41
	480			40
1 -	80	112 50		54
		116.03	52.35	46
	80	275 00		
				8
4 .				11
3 .			28.69	11
4			11.96	12
2			41.53	23
1			15.15	
	. 80	100.00		13
				18
5	3.00			
		33.50	11.59	01 1004
		28.48		35
7				27
	150			19
				19
~	30			17
	237			16
5 .				17
5			11.04	19
	100	101.00	9.40 11.16	16
	Mumber 9 4 2 2 5 7 1 4 2 1 1 3 1 3 2 5 1 2 1 5 8 4 3 8 1 7 2 5 5	Mumber Acres 9 488 4 200 2 50 2 135 5 461 7 570 1 99 4 196 2 221 11 1,460 3 355 1 80 3 341 3 505 2 240 5 348 1 80 2 480 1 80 1 80 1 80 1 80 5 840 4 221 3 220 4 372 2 137 1 80 5 187 8 363 4 319 3 120 8 452 1 30 7 237 2 190 5 230	Number Acres Dollars 9	Mumber Acres Dollars Dollars

IOWA - Continued

	County :	7 - 7 - 5		: Considerati	on: Aggagged .	Ratio of assessed
		Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year:			_:acre	per acre :	sideration
	4675		2		1.5	
	Johnson-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1910	3	429	63.05	14.48	23
	1911	5	28	52.32	5.89	11
	1913	5	360	93.11	10.16	11
	1914	1	100	146.00	16.07	11
	1915	3	140	120.00	, 13.36	11
	1916	3	157	91.94	, 11.93	13
	1917	5	233	96.14	16.30	17
	1920	13	1,026	157.66	13.62	9
	1923	2	328	134.15	. 14.01	10
	1924	1	111	73.40	. 10.23	14
	1925	2	61	134.43	11.98	9
	1926	2	64	79.69	15.53	19
	1927	1	77	70.43	11.40	16
	1928	2	88	110.65	13.85	13
	1932	1	550	55.45	13.68	25
	Jones					
	1920	13	1,415	010.10	20.00	
	1921	5	668	212.16	18.86	9
	1922	3	275	188.84	15.61	8
	1923	6	684	223.82	18.94	8
	1924	S	99	145.48	14.66	10
	1925	3		118.18	13.98	12
	1926	5	276 358	188.40	17.08	9
	1927	3		157.26	16.56	11
ı	1928	1	302	128.87	15.50	12
	1929	2	8 165	62.50	7.88	13
	1931	ĩ	135	99.85	11.01	11
	1932	i	80	123.46	18.67	15
•	1000	1	80	150.00	21.82	15
	Keokuk					
	1900	43	3,599	48.41	46.73	97
	1901	42	3.658	49.92	46.68	94
	1902	50	4,618	56.08	45.59	81
	1903	42	4,034	72.14	49.02	68
	1904	29	3,271	74.79	42.80	57
	1905	27	1,797	77.94	46.88	60
	1906	19	1,945	60.97	46.25	76
	1907	32	3,249	72.62	48.11	66
	1908	36	3,202	78.43	54.85	70
	1909	48	5,318	90.21	53.02	59

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

IOWA - Continued

County		:Consideratio	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	
and	: Properties: Acrea		: value :	value to con-	
year		: acre	: per acre :	sideration	
					-
Keokuk-C't'd.	Number Acre	s Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1910	48 4,74		51.85	56	
1911	46 3,99		59.10	45	
1912	36 3,56		71.73	50	
1913	35 4,05		80.05	54	
1914	48 4,87		80.99	55	
1915	30 3,03		75.17	49	
1916	16 1.62		79.16	45	
1917	17 1,40		81.67	60	
1918	28 2,32		90.71	53	
1919	48 4,84		80.73	46	
1920	88 11,48		83.65	36	
1921	19 1,76		93.45	37	4
1922	8 650		98.24	45	
1923	8 99		71.81	46	
1924	9 52		84.07	46	
1925	5 489		90.17	44	-
1926	5 369		62.78	51	
1927	16 1,12		72.58	55	
1928	6 54		74.22	46	
1929	3 12		74.31	67	
1930	5 ; 54:		65.11	53	
1931	5 458		67.83	72	
				-	
Kossuth					
1919	5 1,388	129,61	62.45	48	
1920	37 6,15		62.42	37	
1921	7 1,32	178.61	54.44	30	-
1922	2 293	3 127.82	69.75	55	
1923	3 253	3 138.00	59.45	43	
1924	1 160	117.50	58-62	50	
1925	2 370	71.62	52.79	74	
1927	1 120	125.00	54.67	44	
1928	1 160	100.00	.55.03	55	
1929	1 40	100.00	.54.20	54	
1930	2 240		57.10	59	
1931	1 80	114.56	51.20	45	
Lee					
1920	. 5 523	103.82	14.60	14	
1922	2 80	138.75	.22.50	16	
1923	1 66	151.52	.15.61	10	
1926	5 500	87.00	13.55	16	

IOWA - Continued

			- 10	Sec. 10 Care Sec.		
	County	::	1 1 5 5 7	:Consideration	on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
•	and	:Properties:	Acreage	; per	: value :	value to con-
	year	100	1	acre	: per acre :	sideration
	Lee-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1927	1	48	54.48	10.58	. 19
	1929	1	50	37.00	10.55	29
	1932	2	280	68.21	16.38	24
•	100 h			E 1 - 1		
	Lucas		•		1.30	
	1920	9	1,158	177.79	10.00	6
	1921	3	129	90.62	11.44	13
12	1922	3	97	58.76	7.53	13
	1923	4	323	99.69	14.43	14
	1924	1 55	4	75.00	12.50	17
	1925	4	164	42.32	14.04	33
•	1926	4	199	72.99	11.22	15
	1927	3	158	77.85	10.92	14
	1928	3	102	55.88	9.14	16
	1929	4	72	74.14	12.12 .	16
1	1930	2	33	136.36	24.36	18
	1931	3	193	44.21	14.22	32
	Lyon		Ten	-4:	27.03	24
	1900	117	24,896	37.60	27.91	74
	1901	111	21,196	42.52	30.36	71 58
	1902	101	19,240	51.47	29.72	64
•	1903	36	6,779	57.60	37.05	61
	1904	37	7,015	55.04	33.66	67
	1905	34	6,427	55.80	37.23 36.02	56
	1906	41	8,414	64.11	36.70	56 58
	1907	64 49	11,794	63.16 72.40	33.06	46
	1908	49	8,920	79.92	38.08	48
	1909		8,777	88.70	39.51	45
	1910	63	11,151		45.64	47
	1911	56	9,831	96.19	43.51	40
	1912	38 49	7,302	109.43	68.41	58
	1913	49 60	9,805	132.21	68.12	52
	1914	46	11,890	143.67	81.48	57
	1915		8,187	156.95	70.77	45
	1916	46	7,792		68.18	39
	1917	38	7,072	173.39		
,	1918	50	8,663	189.92	75.88 84.94	40 40
	1919	68	11,930	214.61		40 30
	1920	103	18,763	281.95	85.75	
	1921	25	4,524	267.94	87.78	33

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

IOWA - Continued

County		Demands of the last	: Considerati	on:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	- '
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per.	:	value :	value to con-	
year	L J-		_: acre		per_acre	sideration	
Lyon-C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	- II-	Dollars	Percent	્ય
1922	11	2,475	177.03		84.02	47	
1923	17	2,479	195.23	33.	91.07	47	
1924	15	2,346	181.36	-	89.43	49	
1925	16	2,574	183.85		80.93	44	4
1926	17	2,905	147.80		68.85	47	
1927	7	1,160	190.66	- 1:	74.50	39	
1928	14	2,088	138.07		64.95	47	
1929	14	2,523	126.76		72.21	57	7
1930	19	2,877	133.69		73.38	55	
1931	14	1,668	134.45		74.32	55	
1932	6	1,046	109.06		60.02	55	4
1933	3	307	76.87		57.32		
2000	(61)	1 307	. 10.01		37.32	75	
Mitchell	i i i						
1920	22	2,405	191.80		69.86	7.5	4
1921		240	203.33		80.65	36 40	
1922	2 3	565	169.91		78.27		
1923	5	370	245.00		75.42	46	
1924	3	284	114.29			31	4
1925	2	420	133.30		70.27	61	
1926	ĩ	16	78.13		55.88	53	
1927	4	383	124.02		63.13	72 51	
1928	î	35	142.86	- 1	62.74		4
1929	5	60	150.00	- 73.	62.47	44	
1931	2	163	88.16		58.23	42 66	
	~	100	. 00.10		.56.25	66	
Monona					1.11		
1920	31	3,648	172.83		19.93	12	
1921	2	359	196.24		17.76	9	
1922	ıĩ	1.410	160.41		12.38	8	-4
1923	6	707	175.30		16.97		
1925	2	261	209.48		20.34	10 10	
1926	5	339	138.79		16.16	12	٠,
1927	9	745	158.94		16.17	10	4
1928	13	1,440	114.49		12.30	11	
1929	11	1,345	109.91		12.17		
1930	11	1,566	101.85		11.11	11 11	
1931	6	646	143.73		18.00	13	4
1932	2	374	89.80		19.32	22	
1933	ĩ	598	42.93		7.98	22	

IOWA - Continued:

			2-2/40			
•	County	i bengallu je			Assessed	: Ratio of assessed value to con-
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :		
	year	The state of the s		_: acre:	per_acre	: - gideracion
			104 C 05	DUD 7 044	Dollars	Percent
•	Monroe	Number	Acres	Dollars	18.10	16
	1911	5	453	50.77	8.12	
	1912	4	317	55.16	8.85	15
	1913	14	1,032	79,21	8.22	14
	1914	11	1,343	60.21	7.55	11
	1915	5	444	69.59	5.12	7
	1916	6	670	70.85	7.23	16
	1917	9	2,640	43.98	8.89	11
	1918	11	1,477	83:14		10
	1919	13	1,772	105.60	10.05	10
	1920	16	1,565	116.41	11.10	11
	1921	3	239	108:16	12.25	17
	1922	6	.527	97.82	16,53	15
	1923	6	-376	77.67	11.99	13
	1924	3	.196	:95.92	12,62	18
	1925	1		.50.00	9,00	
	1926	9	636	99.94	11.04	11
	1927	2	48	28.17	7.65	27
	1928	4	254	52.54	9,67	18
•	1929	2	134	41:79	8,97	21
	1930	3	118	47.03	8.17	17
	1931	5	248	56.85	9.29	16
	1932	1	39	22.44	6.05	27
•						
	Montgomery					
	1901	5	368	55.00	10.69	19
w	1902	2	132	62.86	11.41	18
	1904	1	79	86.08	11.03	13
	1906	2	195	72.93	11.46	. 16
	1908	2	225	106.67	12.36	12
	1909	1	79	106.33	12.84	12
	1910	3	271	117.24	13.80	12
	1911	1	79	151.90	14.62	10
	1912	1	79	151.90	19.87	13
	1913	2	173	138.73	20.03	14
	1915	6	657	150.99	21.20	14
	1916	2	173	139.12	19.01	14
	1917	1	. 238	183.27	19.25	11
	1918	2 3	146	167.81	19.60	12
	1919	3	237	212.83	20.62	10
	1920	9	881	267.54	22.34	8 7
	1921	3	149	299.40	20.39	7

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

IOWA - Continued

County	: Properties:	Acreage.	: per :	: value ::	value to con-
year		:	_:acre:_	: per acre :	sideration
Montgomery-C	't'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1922	5	.365	228.33	20.51	9
1923	4	269	163.57	20.72	13
1925	1	214	200.47	18.01	9
1926	2	373	164.61	17.63	11 .
1929	1	156	178.37	17.57	10
1930	3	312	143.27	28.01	20
1932	2	426	96.28	51.99	54
7000			and the same	The first	
Page			24.25		
1926	4	255	126.08	17.02	13
1927	34	3,102	138.69	18.72	13
1928	50	4,817	151.09	18.19	12
1929	40	3,326	151.06	17.78	12
1930	35	2,624	125.44	16.31	13
1931	32	2,994	139.06	19.29	14
1932	14	1.008	99.31	16.37	16
1933	11	1,214	66.23	14.90	22
1300		-,			
Ringgold				1 - 3 - 1	
1920	25	3,807	185.01	-51.94	28
1921	8	1,230	213.20	59:10	28
1922	3	399	143.78	15.51	11
1923	S	201	167,53	14.81	9
1924	້	385	133.25	14.10	11
1925	2	277	129.78	11.89	9
1926	2	155	135,65	11.02	8
1927	3	200	127.00	13:38	11
1928	4	410	91.59	12.60	14
1929	3	411	89.46	13.75	15
1930	3	215	47.44	9.64	20
1931	2	285	97.54	11.48	12
1931	٥	200	31.04	11.10	10
M					
Tama	8	1,388	366.63	22.35	6
1920	î	160	183.84	20.53	11 :
1923		160	247.50	24.86	10
1924	2 2 2		144.23	19.27	13
1926	2	,260	157.50	18.56	12
1927	2	320	200.31	21.41	11
1928	2	319	100.00	18.05	18
1938	1	115	130.43	18.07	14
1931	i	160	120.00	20.41	17

IOWA - Continued

	County	32077	149 A / 1	: Consideration	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Propert	ies: Acreage	per	: value :	value to con-
	year	_ 1 1	**!		: per acre :	sideration
Y						
	Union 👈	Numbe		Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1920	47	5,835	202.11	17.44	9
	1921	10	924	227.23	20.50	9
	1922	6	654	154.74	17.10	11
7	1923	6	354	187.83	20.52	11
	1924	6	467	172.08	19.42	11
	1925	4	207	143.48	12.93	9
(1)	1926	6	552	128.30	15.23	12
	1927	5	426	140.85	15.94	11
	1928	3	302	148.24	15.94	. 11
	1929	2	. 38	128.95	21.89	17
	1930	7	445	119.21	15.44	13
	1931	4	331	150.15	20.34	14
	1932	4	404	114.49	14.23	12
			34.			
>	Van Buren		7. 4	9554		
	1920	6	482	116.46	12.23	11
	1921	3	151	86.75	8.65	10
	1922	1	30	98.33	7.50	8
Þ	1923	2	85	90.29	7.14	8
	1925	3	100	72.50	8.19	- 11
	1927	3	251	103.82	7.62	7
125	1928	3	180	77.78	12.26	16
•	1929	1	40	50.00	9,00	18
	1930	1	80	20.00	7.81	39
	1932	ī	10	30.00	8.20	27
	1933	ī	40	20.00	7.70	38
3		-		-		
	Washington					
	1920	52	4,984	238.19	80.12	34
	1921	15	1,427	276.04	83.54	30
	1922	15	995	189.02	73.48	39
	1923	9	445	164.92	75.27	46
	1924	6	277	158.36	78.48	50
	1925	8	396	115.27	59.84	52
	1926	9	844	177.68	67.73	38
	1927	5	361	122.52	70.93	58
	1928	6	546	118.01		64
>	1929				75.00	
		3	109	108.26	59.08	55
	1930	3	102	90.29	50.75	56
	1931	1	77	79.22	56.99	72
	1932	5	50	70.00	75.60	108

IOWA - Continued

County and year	:Properties:	Acréage	Consideration: per acre:	Assessed value per acre	value to con-	_
Wayne	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	4
1920	15	3,058	200.31	39.10	20	
1921	4	608	264.23	56.60	21	
1923	4	315	73.65	42.42	58	4
1925	2	294	112.24	26.61	24	
1927	S	69	147.83	63.77	43	
Wright	7. III					4
1919	2	274	152.35	76.74	50	
1920	32	5,553	215.31	75.19	35	
1921	1	352	139.20	28.80	21	
1923	1 8	156	230.77	82.08	36	4
1924	4	632	207.11	76.42	. 37	
1925	1	352	113.64	70.16	62	
1926	5	513	197.92	74.41	38	
1927	5	849	136.40	69.15	51	4
1928		674	118.32	66.12	56	
1929	2	116	131.03	74.38	57	
1930	6 2 2 2	396	111.62	66.92	60	J.
1932	2	312	76.47	49.49	65	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

MISSOURI

	County	Laborate &			Assessed:	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value :	value to con-
	year_	·		: _ acre _ :	per acre:	_ sideration
	Clark	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1925	44	2,652	84.83	48.24	57
	1926	34	2,109	71.27	43.65	61
	1927	9	895	72.49	56.88	78
•	1928	10	694	53.67	52.16	97
	1929	16	1,475	77.04	48.06	62
	1930	18	1,339	43.10	37.59	87
	1931	16	1,400	37.53	36.59	97
ı	1932	36	3,047	38.54	42.05	109
	1933	31	2,818	25.80	28.70	111
	1000		2,010	20.00	20.10	***
	Casconade					
	1920	115	12,495	29.47	9.07	31
	1921	53	5,427	31.62	14.72	47
	1922	38	4,094	27.11	19.80	73
	1923	59	6,613	21.67	12.94	60
ì	1924	31	3,992	19.50	15.50	79
	1925	38	4,356		22.78	79 84
	1926	36	5,509	27.10 21.83	19.56	90
	1927	32	4,051		15.93	
ı	1928	33		18.43		86
	1929	34	3,954	19.44	19.07	98
			4,055	24.44	17.65	72
	1930	31	3,652	16.60	17.35	105
	1931	25	2,770	20.22	22.38	111
	1932	12	1,378	15.67	16.93	108
	1933	16	1,494	12.32	11.69	95
	Mississippi					
	1921	29	3,966	108.09	59.87	55
	1922	24	2,493	96.32	66.68	69
•	1923	28	3,231	63.55	48.40	76
	1924	23	1,943	77.46	58.50	76
	1925	33	4,355	71.78	49.19	69
	1926	24	1,936	66.00	32.13	49
•	1927	14	1,863	64.95	36.22	56
	1928	18	1,769	68.72	51.58	75
	1929	21	2,049	53.71	47.63	89
	1930	8	594	45.29	44.95	99
•	1931	14	1,014	46.21	43.18	93
	1932	14	2,824	26.98	25.85	96
	1933	26	3,829	18.53	26.00	140

MISSOURI - Continued

County and year	Properties	Acreage	: per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration	
Reynolds	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1920	22	2,638	18.85	10.26	54	•
1921	20	1,986	17.86	8.32	47	
1922	23	2,693	17,58	8.73	50	
1923	21	5,885	12.04	4.68	39	4
1924	23	2,505	16,27	7.40	45	- 1
1925	22	2,165	9.38	5.01	53	
1926	14	1,892	21,56	8.81	41	
1927	18	1,794	14,27	6.30	44	4
1928	12	1,220	18.36	9.99	54	
1929	9	594	15.78	10.27	65	
1930	6	386	16.77	9.50	57	
1931	13	2,288	13.13	6.92	53	4
1932	17	2,213	10,89	5.22	48	
1933	8	1,103	10.24	6.74	66	
		1 1 17				4

4 .

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NORTH DAKOTA

County	in the said of	Acreage :	per	:	value :	Ratio of assesse value to con-
an d	:Properties:	Foreage .	acre		per acre :	sideration
year						
		Acres	Dollars		Dollars	Percent
Burleigh	Number	7,042	27.53		16.67	61
1920	42		21.99		15.34	70
1921	32	7,212	23.33		16.83	72
1922	24	4,158	23.89		16.43	69
1923	28	5,196	17.74		16.34	92
1924	35	5,138			15.42	104
1925	47 .	7,854	14.89		10.72	68
1926	29	4,704	15.79		10.67	64
1927	20	2,945	16.64		10.59	54
1928	37	- 5,865	19.52		11.62	82
1929	31	4,643	14.23		12.51	88
1930	27	3,925	13.98			84
1931	11	2,094	11.33		9.56	84
1932	23	4,180	7.65		6.46	01
1302						
Hettinger						66
1928	52	9,735	18.22		11.96	63
	67	13,247	19.31		12.15	
1929	21	3,215	18.58		11.95	64
1930	19	2,781	17.27		10.78	62
1931	3	480	10.83		10.58	98
1932	5	800	18.04		10.75	60
1933	3	000				
McHenry	2.4	2,383	20.43		14.41	71
1929	14	3,572	19.26		11.30	59
1930	15	2,189	16.82		10.46	62
1931	14	2,037	12.26		7.84	64
1932	7	320	4.31		7.68	178
1933	2	320	4.01			
Oliver		7 000	15.59		11.27	72
1928	16	3,200	14.87		12.03	81
1929	13	2,920	20.74		12.72	61
1930	8	1,360	29.37		15.80	54
1931	3	640	16.83		10.00	
Sargent	13	R 954	29.28		33.81	115
1928	19	3,726	29.05		32.76	113
1929	13	1,783			33.47	94
1930	8	1,323	35.60		24.27	85
1931	19	3,487	28.55		25.49	111
1932	11	1,765	23.04		18.15	141
1933	5	1,200	12.85		10.10	

NORTH DAKOTA - Continued

County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	Assessed value per acre	: Ratio of assessed : value to con- : sideration
Walsh	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1927	62	8,184	38.82	34.09	88
1928	50	7.790	33.00	34.85	106
1929	44	6.662	31.56	35.85	114
1930	46	6.985	36.83	36.16	98
1931	24	3,899	27.18	35.74	131
1932	28	4,760	23.30	27.86	120
1933	16	3,212	20.72	26.13	126

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

SOUTH DAKOTA

	County					Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	101 1	value	value to con-
	year	34		: _ acre_	<u>:</u>	per acre_	sideration
20.1							
	Butte	Number	Acres	Dollars		Dollars	Percent
	1926	10	2,781	.v. 15.11		8.84	59
	1927	24	6,129	. 13.84		11.31	82
	1928	43	12,231	11.22		9.69	86
	1929	20	10,691	. 7.35		6.39	67
	1930	6	2,803	8.03		6.88	86
	1931	10	3,530	4.34		3.60	83
	1932	16	3,265	4.33		4.56	105
	1933	11	3,225	. 5.11		4.48	88
	1300						
•	Fall River		Li - "				
	1920	159	32,125	18.87		10.59	56
	1921	12	2,697	. 26.64		10.57	40
	1922	38	9,840	13.89		8.84	64
•	1923	53	11,782	14.06	4	7.46	53
	1924	37	8,017	11.11		8.72	78
	1925	28	5,870	10.97		6.81	62
	1926	35	7,233	7.93		6.26	79
•		47	12,541	6.51		5.95	91
	1927	46	11,766	7.77		6.30	81
	1928		5,824	6.96		5.34	77
	, 1029	22	7,556	9.17		5.66	62
•	1930	22	1,714	5.78		5.18	90
	1931	10	2,021	4.49		3.26	73
	1932	5		4.92		4.43	90
	1933	8	1,365	. 4.00		1.10	
	Gregory		40 054	93.55		51.11	55
	1920	202	42,054	63.71		40.33	63
	1921	49	14,406	60.51		33.94	56
7	1922	39	12,163			39.33	82
	1923	33	8,141	. 48.17		48.26	69
	1924	16	3,118				80
>	1925	28	5,222			45.82	70
	1926	50	4,139			47.52	72
	1927	11	1,756			43.86	
	1928	25	4,966			43.90	89 81
>	1929	14	5,193			22.69	
	1930	17	3,591			37.50	123
	1931	3	479			34.12	125
	1932	3	480		1	28.51	159
•	- 1933	5	1,617	10.20		11.88	116

SOUTH DAKOTA - Continued

County :					Ratio of assessed	
and :	Properties:	Acronge	: per	: value :	value to con-	
year:			acre	: per acre :	sideration	4
			Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
Potter	Number	Acres		29.80	47	
1920	13 .	3,201	63.71	31.03	61	2.5
1921	6	1,282	51.10		64	4
1922	2	480	52.00	33.12	115	
1929	1 **	160	21.25	24.38		
1931	1	160	15.46	22.50	146	4
Roberts						- 11.5
1920	100 .	20,088	97.40	62.13	64	
1921	24	4,329	91.89	51.12	56	
1922	23	5,024	78.67	48.66	62	4
1923	11	1,635	. 73.19	52.84	72	
1924	16	3,008	. 55.88	40.45	72	
1925	5	813	79.59	52.88	66	
1926	2	315	65.56	54.86	84	1
1927	10	1,540	59.27	43.30	73	
1928	15	1,490	61.69	43.84	71	
1929	5	798	50.60	42.97	85	-
1930	5	800	52.65	42.13	03	18.8
1931	7 .	867	57.60	46.64	81	
1932	4	639	45.31	34.65	76	
1933	. 3	357	34.31	24.17	70	4
			7 -			
Turner			200 20	115 55	65	
1920	116	15,575	178.10	115.53	65 78	
1921	29	4,153	144.92	113.21	78 82	
1922	16	2,013	145.94	119.28	105	
1923	22	2,504	103.58	109.11	105	
1924	31	2,860	111.70	116.99	97	4
1925	46	5,677	99.96	97.38		
1926	32	4,079	105.94	87.22	82	
1927	34	4,718	105.30	89.60	85	
1928	21	2,812	93.37	94.25	101	-41
1929	40	3,059	85.14	90.05	106	
1930	29	3,083	112.44	91.63	81	
1931	16	1,663	99.11	82.25	83	
1932	9	1,291	67.20	61.66	92	4
1933	3	332	84.19	54.64	65	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NEBRASKA

	County			:Consideration	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year	: :		:_acre	: per acre :	sideration
	Jear					Domaont
	Cherry	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent 58
	1928	50	41,690	9.70	5.67	68
	1929	36	26,874	8.00	5.41	50
	1930	19	19,486	8.87	4.45	49
	1931	13	20,147	9.14	4.50	56
	1932	16	13,262	6.81	3.84	56
	1933	7	2,132	8.94	5.02	90
	1300					
	Frontier		120	25.00	13.42	54
	1928	1	12,869	34.10	15.72	46
	1929	57	12,869	30.62	16.07	52
	1930	39		31.07	16.32	53
	1931	24	6,084	33.56	18.87	56
	1932	13	2,912	22.17	15.20	69
	1933	7	1,153	22.4		
	Harlan			m	34.87	49
	1925	18	3,134	71.45		45
	1926	29	4,545	80.07	35.94	54
	1927	21	3,184	59.28	32.06	62
	1928	20	3,685	52.21	32.11	55
	1929	32	6,152	59.99	32.84	58
	1930	24	4,142	55.20	32.01	69
	1931	21	4,569	43.36	29.75	59
	1932	6	776	35.14	20.79	65
	1933	8	940	30.85	19.98	00
	Lancaster			05 :0	15.78	17
	Lancaster 1913	100	11,191	95.49	13.79	14
	1914	98	10,443	100.10		13
	1915	85	9,800	101.65	13.66	15
	1916	92	10,023	100.08	14.92	12
	1917	162	19,527	122.17	14.71	11
	1918	175	19,427	144.12	15.27	9
	1919	161	20,653		14.63	13
	1920	202	25,030		24.34	53
	1921	88	10,428			59
•	1922	54	5,666		92.43	63
	1923	70	10,706		97.05	67
	1924	70	7,615	145.08	97.44	
	1925	60	6,358		99.03	80
•	1926	61	5,993		99.39	77
	1927	77	8,235		92.48	69

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NEBRASKA - Continued

Ž.	County	-,				: Ratio of assessed	2004
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	, arac	: value to con-	-
	_ year_			: _ acre :	per acre	:sideration	
Lan	caster-C!	t'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
120	1928	59	6,733	122.92	94.04	77	
	1929	70	8,112	127.12	98.38	77	
	1930	45	5,086	134.07	101.06	75	
	1931	55	6,223	107.41	93.09	91	
	1932	27	3,052	88.02	84.83	96	
	1933	30	3,714	66.13	64.66	98	
Sco	tts Bluff						
	1928	55	6,407	72.51	32.50	45	
	1929	51	7,976	44.96	23.50	52	
	1930	43	7,126	55.82	27.92	50	
	1931	51	6,101	54.72	35.86	66	
	1932	17	1,572	53.20	31.45	59	
	1933	18	1,675	33.25	23.98	72	
Whe	elor						
	1929	4	1,680	13.42	11.48	86	
	1930	11	4,800	11.57	9.32	81	
	1931	9	2,080	24.35	11.51	47	
	1932	6	1,280	11.84	9.81	83	
	1933	3	1,350	9.64	5.34	55	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

KANSAS

Stark Number Acres Dollars Dollars Percent	County				n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
Stark Number Acres Dollars Dollars Dollars	and	:Properties:	Acreage		: value :	
	year_			: acre	: per acre :_	
1920 10		Manhon	tores	Dollars	nollars	Percent
1921 7 1,918 41.82 18.32 45 1922 8 1,255 45.35 18.36 42 1922 7 3,458 25.97 14.74 57 1924 5 1,769 27.30 13.95 51 1925 20 11,448 18.58 13.25 71 1925 7 1,319 32.08 18.56 58 1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 33.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Grey 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1933 7 4,988 74.10 42.66 66 1933 7 4,988 74.10 42.66 66 1933 7 4,988 74.10 42.66 66 1931 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 76 1923 33 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 9 2.6445 57.77 36.51 65						49
1922 8 1,255 43.35 18.36 42 1923 7 3,458 25.97 14.74 57 1924 5 1,769 27.30 13.95 51 1925 20 11,448 18.58 15.23 71 1926 7 1,519 32.08 18.56 58 1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 35.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1928 12 1,247 32.63 15.64 48 1928 12 1,364 39.89 15.68 39 1630 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.61 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 65 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1923 33 3,774 90.51 69.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 62 1929 26 2,645 57.77 36.51 63						45
1925						42
1934 5 1,769 27.30 13.95 51 1925 20 11,448 18.58 15.23 71 1926 7 1,319 32.08 18.56 58 1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 35.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 69 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jowell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.26 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 65 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.26 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 65 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.26 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 65 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 60.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 62 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.51 67						
1925 20 11,448 18.58 15.23 71 1926 7 1,319 32.08 16.56 58 1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 35.43 16.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1630 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.56 54 1932 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1937 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66.55 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1932 9 1,097 45.71 38.83 Lieavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.92 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 68.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 35.51						
1936 20 11,449 32.08 16.56 58 1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 33.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.66 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1937 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1939 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1932 9 1,097 45.71 38.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1931 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 60.95 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 62 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63						
1927 6 1,363 40.13 21.03 52 1928 9 2,716 35.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,946 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 66.95 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 66.95 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 66.95 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1925	10.1				
1927 6 1,363 4.15 18.58 56 1928 9 2,716 35.43 18.58 56 1929 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,946 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 40.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.92 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 60.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1926	7				
1928 9 3,525 44.31 18.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.86 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 76 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1927	6				
1929 9 3,525 44.31 16.73 42 1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1953 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 15.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell	1928	9	2,716	33.43		
1930 3 1,948 24.49 21.78 89 1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.65 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63		9	3,525	44.31		
1932 2 470 21.70 20.02 92 1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 38.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.36 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 65 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 78 1924 9 6,517 94.62 66.18 72 1925 76 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2.643 57.77 36.31 63		3	1,948	24.49	21.78	
1933 2 988 22.27 16.67 75 Gray 1926 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 46.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63				21.70	20.02	
1936 19 5,520 29.97 13.78 46 1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 38.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 76 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63			988	22.27	16.67	75
1926	1900	~				
1926						
1927 21 6,136 29.25 13.41 46 1928 12 2,947 38.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.66 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63		10	. 5 590	20 07	13.78	46
1927 21 32.63 15.64 48 1928 12 2,947 32.63 15.64 48 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell						46
1928 12 2,347 35.86 15.68 39 1929 13 4,200 39.89 15.68 39 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1939 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 38.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 76 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63						48
1929 13 4,800 49.69 22.96 46 1930 4 960 49.69 22.96 46 1932 1 160 14.06 7.06 54 1933 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12.966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63						
1930	1929					
1932 1 160 31.25 19.69 63 Jewell 1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 82 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1930	4				
Jewell 1926	1932	1				
1926 1 80 87.50 51.69 59 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.92 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 76 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1933	1	160	31.25	19.69	
1926 1 80 87.81 66.35 62 1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1939 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 60.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	Jewell					50
1927 3 297 80.81 66.35 62 1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 42.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 38.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1926	1	80	87.50		
1929 26 2,572 56.75 50.53 69 1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63			297	80.81	66.35	
1930 37 4,988 74.10 48.66 66 1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63			2,572	56.75		
1931 21 2,599 56.61 40.28 71 1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63				74.10	48.66	
1932 9 1,097 45.71 36.83 85 Leavenworth 1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 66.16 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	_			56.61	40.28	71
1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63					3 8.83	85
1920 146 12,966 115.82 73.42 63 1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	Tegrenwonth					
1921 49 6,517 94.62 68.18 72 1922 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63			12,966	115.82	73.42	
1921 57 6,705 79.33 61.96 78 1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63					68.18	72
1923 33 3,774 90.51 65.95 73 Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63						78
Lincoln 1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63						73
1927 23 3,900 52.14 40.55 78 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1923	33	3,774	20.01	30,10	
1927 25 3,900 52:14 15:48 82 1928 9 1,377 55.63 45.48 82 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	Lincoln				10 55	no
1928 9 1,377 35.35 15.55 1929 1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1927	23				
1929 20 2,643 57.77 36.31 63	1928	9	1,377			1-91
		20		57.77		
	1930	17	2,551	50.59	35.75	71

KANSAS - Continued

Coun	•				Ratio of assessed	
end	l :Properti	es: Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
year			: acre	: per acre :	sideration	
incoln -	- C't'd. Numb	er Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1931		1,920	52.08	34.28	66	
1932		2,160	. 42.64	35.15	82	
1933		. 693	37.55	37.78	101	
leosho		4				
1922	2 2	240	- 98.54	43.75	44	
1923		. 411	72.62	37.74	52	
1924		937	65.82	45.63	69	
1925		380	41.24	28.54	69	
1926		1,731	60.95	38.36	63	
1927		716	66.83	48.95	73	
1928		1,079	44.56	39.69	89	
1929		. 697	51.66	43.06	83	
1930) 6	570	59.13	36.50	62	
1931		899	35.49	39.28	111	
1932	8	1,131	26.68	28.83	108	
1933	15	1,853	24.23	-26.11	108	
orton						
1928	8	878	34.32	27.28	79	
1929	33	4.806	34.55	20.69	60	-
1930	25	4,088	34.25	18.76	55	
1931		4,274	27.99	14.59	52	
1932		3,166	20.95	12.98	62	
1933	_	. 3,478	16.33	12.15	74	
umner						
1925	26	3,838	59.75	48.52	81	
1926	11	1,336	62.23	48.11	77	
1927	20	3,223	66.89	43.74	65	
1928		2,189	73.16	53.98	74	
1929		1,293	57.91	47.83	83	
1930		873	. 67.87	45.09	66	
1931		1,125	43.34	50.06	116	
1932		825	40.04	37.45	94	
homas						
1928	6	2,143	21.98	11.36	52	
1929		3,030	23.72	11.68	49	
1929						
		2,240	22.77	9.90	43	
1932		320	10.00	4.18 7.84	42 49	
1933	6	1,920	15.94	7.04	40	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

DELAWARE

County and year	: Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
Kent 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931	Number 195 203 138 131 130 137 134 131 131 68 92 84	Acres 17,008 14,964 9,194 8,570 7,955 11,039 10,391 9,244 10,087 4,458 5,592 5,827 4,481	Dollars 64.75 62.35 64.24 65.28 67.61 61.63 54.38 59.53 62.47 58.40 52.79 44.08	Dollars 44.46 50.06 47.87 54.98 57.35 48.27 43.03 47.69 52.72 47.78 48.62 38.59 38.81	Percent 69 80 75 87 85 78 79 80 84 82 92 88
1932 1933	66 65	5,086	42.38	46.90	111

MARYLAND

County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	Assessed value per acre	Ratio of assessed value to consideration
Kent 1928 1929 1930 1931	Number 19 23 10	Acres 3,710 2,670 824 1,004	Dollars 86.81 73.81 59.86 54.47	Dollars 41.55 47.04 39.38 55.56	Percent 48 64 66 102
Somerset 1930 1931 1932 1933	8 7 9 14	444 501 332 1,081	29.28 27.15 45.12 25.97	26.72 24.54 41.74 30.51	91 90 93 117
Washington 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933	61 58 57 35 45	3,468 3,430 3,238 1,667 3,623 145	89.18 66.07 79.48 72.50 55.29 12.41	68.59 52.79 55.96 67.55 58.79 20.69	77 80 70 93 106 167

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

VIRGINIA

County	:	:Consideration: Assessed : Ratio of				
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	taruo .	value to con- sideration _	
year			: acre :	per acre_:_	SIGERACION _	

		1	D-11	Dollars	Percent	
Accomac	Number	Acres	Dollars	17.03	33	
1910	53	2,959	52.19	20.61	32	
1911	39	1,792	64.36	19:05	34	
1912	33	1,606	56.18		26	
1913	54	2,696	84.41	22.15	24	
1914	55	3,759	68.74	16.48	30	
1915	38	2,200	81.46	24.29	55	
1916	44	2,803	61.21	33.53	32	
1917	40	1,873	100.92	31.91		
1918	59	5,070	80.35	28.77	36	
1919	56.	2,543	117.64	34.48	29	
1920	72	11,171	56.50	12.72	23	
1921	78	4,254	167.16	41.36	25	
1922	58	2,654	157.16	40.86	26	
1923	58	2,631	114.08	37.49	33	
1924	43	1,669	121.25	41.33	34	
1925	33	1,733	95.92	31.97	33	
1926	35	3,149	73.56	27.78	38	
1927	32	1,423	156.23	49.21	31	
	24	1,683	110.02	37.33	34	
1928	40	2,254	86.69	32.48	37	
1929	35	1,936	70.37	29.66	42	
1930		1,930	80.62	36.67	45	
1931	23	906	66.02	38.73	59	
1932	18		68.20	33.78	50	
1933	22	1,445	04.00	00,10		
Albemarle	255	E 744	31.24	9.81	31	
1910	49	5,346	44.03	11.82	27	
1911	76	7,413		9.70	30	
1912	39	5,132	32.35	12.13	27 .	
1913	47	4,998	45.46	11.25	24	
1914	34	3,967	46.90		30	
1915	35	2,335	39.12	11.72	29	
1916	42	3,365	38.89	11.38	32	
1917	42	4,124	37.73	12.20		
1918	42	9,774	50.79	13.11	26	
1919	48	4,544	53.23	12.63	24	
1920	52	5,816	. 64.42	17.30	27	
1921	52	2,833	71.01	18.83	27	
1922	50	3,788	60.37	14.50	24	
1923	54	5,872	65.90	15.46	23	
1924	34	4,196	48.04	15.74	33	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

VIRGINIA - Continued

	County			:Consideration:	Assessed	: Ratio of assessed	
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value	: value to con-	2
	year _			_:acre:	per acre	sideration	
Alben	marle-C'	t'd. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
9	1925	28	1,955	53.60	14.09	26	
	1926	36	2,204	. 58.23	16.53	28	
	1927	39	. 3,429	. 89.69	21.35	24	
	1928	42	3,791	. 50.00	12.83	26	
	1929	98	12,495	. 58.70	14.61	25	4
	1930	44	4,733	52.63	15.56	30	
	1931	87 .	8,078	63.65	. 18.86	30	
	1932	46	8,583	34.57	11.71	34	
	1933	74	5,162	40.22	13.13	33	4
Buche	inan						
	1929	26	1,489	14.51	3.02	21	
	1931	13	929	16.25	5.51	34	4
	1933	20	1,033	13.62	2.97	22	
Russe	ell						
	1910	30	833	34.41	5.60	16	4
	1911	34	1,272	45.24	9.92	22	
	1912	. 19	284	30.64	4.94	16	
	1913	18	427	36.51	9.19	25	- 2
	1914	34	1,202	28.94	6.94	24	
	1915	30	844	39.82	8.14	20	
	1916	22	476	44.03	8.86	20	
	1917	37	1,169	81.19	12.12	15	4
	1918	17	658	67.50	10.47	16	
	1919	36	2,054	83.91	13.21	16	
	1920	29	864	69.62	10.93	16	
	1921	30	1,119	95.64	15.86	17	4
	1922	34	1,050	55.12	11.23	20	
	1923	31	377	94.64	9.59	10	
	1924	30	845	58.83	11.91	20	
	1925	26	689	88.40	13.95	16	-
	1926	27	649	68.82	11.80	17	
	1927	25	440	64.70	12.80	20	
	1928	31	503	75.73	11.59	15	al al
	1929	28	1,250	66.62	14.61	22	4(
	1930	30	1,282	61.33	13.99	23	
	1931	29	1,465	37.52	10.48	28	
	1932	21	993	36.95	10.88	29	-4(
	1933	16	1,350	29.81	11.33	38	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

WEST VIRGINIA

	County	: :		:Consideration		Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
١.	year _			:_ acre	: per acre :	sideration_
						D
1	Ritchie	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1925	36	2,606	30.62	28.69	94
	1926	34	2,722	35,44	31.16	88
	1927	32	2,447	26.44	28.04	106
	1928	25	1,801	. 24.84	26.92	108
	1929	29	1,980	20.38	24.26	119
	1930	22	1,795	17.34	19.36	112
	1931	22	1,272	21.58	20.43	95
	1932	14	708	17.90	19.52	109
	1933	13	1,239	13.34	14.14	106

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NORTH CAROLINA

County and year	: :Properties: :	Acreage	:Consideration : per : acre	: Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con-sideration	3
Chowan	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	*
1926	19	1,390	41.30	24.34	59	
1927	15	689	41.89	45.39	108	
1928	19	562	56.22	49.45	88	
1933	1	65	30.77	23.08	75	
Cleveland						
1928	6	347	87.07	50.29	58	*
1929	9	410	75.51	45,96	61	
1930	2	23	67.39	44.13	· 65	
1931	8	557	36.35	31.46	87	
1932	13	636	31.82	27.67	. 87	•
1933	22	1,262	35.61	38.75	109	
Currituck			- 3			- 2
1930	2	64	14.66	9.38	64	
1931	3	260	. 30.59	26.00	85	
1932	11	557	40.84	50.26	123	
i- 2 933	14	774	28.10	33.72	120	4
Davie	E' E -	50	10.00	33.60	84	
1928	1	50	. 40.00	74.00	129	
1929	2	9	57.22	45.16	101	4
1930	6	129 253	44.88 20.92	28.87	138	
1931	10		21.15	30.38	144	
1932	19	1,014		24.38	145	-
1933	4	333	16.82	24.00	140	
Hyde		0.45	42.23	49.63	118	
1929	13	345 371	41.25	31.40	76	- 4
1930	13	23	36.96	25.78	70	
1931	2	231	25.54	13.97	55	
1932 1933	6	117	35.51	31.41	88	1
Jackson						
	23	760	16.23	13.06	80	
1928 1929	25	914	32.68	23.52	72	
1929	25 21	538	24.41	21.08	86	4
1931	18	694	21.82	25.75	118	
1932	15	426	24.94	35.75		
1933	11	622	23.15	28.48	143 123	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NORTH CAROLINA - Continued

>	County and year	: :Properties:	Acreage	: per: :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to consideration	
	Northampton	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
	1927	17	1,358	30.82	26.93	87	
	1928	18	736	50.81	26.39	52	
	1929	17	1,039	43.33	24.91	57	
	1930	27	1,090	40.41	25.71	64	
	1931	16	1,376	24.68	22.97	93	
-	1932	8	556	20.63	16.53	80	
	1933	8 .	350	31.07	24.69	79	
•	Wayne				*0 OF	67	
	1929	12	1,184	59.88	40.05		
	1930	13	1,043	33.41	37.87	113	
>	1931	3	184	19.62	17.39	89	

SOUTH CAROLINA

County			:Consideration	: Assessed : I	Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year			_: acre	:_per_acre :	sideration
Allendale	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1929	3	2,390	5.69	4.06	71
1930	ì	43	18.42	7.67	42
1931	2	129	11.24	5.58	50
1932	12	1,785	8.60	4.76	55
1933	4	1,810	6.36	3.76	59
Berkeley				Hillian	100
1928	3	59	5.17	5.25	102
1929	11	332	11.41	3.96	35
1930	22	733	15.27	4.83	32
1931	26	1,874	8.75	2.86	33
1932	10	209	14.93	3.80	25
1933	40	1,891	7.69	2.49	32
Sherokee				8.00	22
1925	36	2,593	36.04	8.86	23
1926	30	1,748	39.16		29
1927	43	3,141	29.57	8.47 9.12	26
1928	37	2,263	34.53		26
1929	38	2,368	31.32	8.19	3 6
1930	33	2,484	20.52	7.31	47
1931	50	3,838	17.60	8.24	56
1932	46	2,262	21.47	12.06	60
1933	34	2,363	13.04	7.84	60
Georgetown		0.855	5.35	3.40	64
1931	5	2,355	5.36	5.66	106
1932	8	344	10.06	5.39	54
1933	26	4,806	10.06	5.59	
Lexington		31	72.58	12.90	18
1926	1	269	33.46	5.76	17
1927	2	60	26.67	7.17	27
1928	1	350	20.66	6.29	30
1930	3	18.	11.03	6.24	57
1931	3	465 267	16.39	5.66	35
1932	(2		13.95	7.10	51
1933	3	138	10.50	,	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

BOUTH CAROLINA - Continued

	County			:Consideration	: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year			_:acre	:_per_acre :	sideration
	Ac Cormick	Number	Acres	Dollers	Dollars	Percent
	1925	6	2,873	12.43	5.13	41
	1926	5 .	648	20.72	7.19	35
	1927	11 .	1,569	26.10	6.09	23
	1928	12	2,249	18.03	5.33	30
	1929	4 .	159	17.13	6.21	36
	1930	11	1,205	12.46	5.95	48
	1931	8 .	1,859	5.64	4.45	79
	1932	8	1,097	5.44	6.03	111
	1933	7	2,437	4.89	5.86	120
1	partanturg					
	1928	10	746	46.66	13.51	29
	1929	16	945	56.05	13.95	25
	1930	16	912	49.74	14.06	28
	1931	20	1,145	46.66	14.57	31
	1932	12	549	26.85	14.11	53
	1933	13	889	18.79	13.19	70

CEORGIA

			·Consideratio	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	
County	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-	
an d	:Proper tres.	Acroago	acre	: per acre :	sideration	2
year						
Burke	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1925	45	7,770	12.60	9.31	74	
1926	50	8,103	16.50	9.88	60	40,30
1927	32	7,734	12.12	9.54	79	
1928	33	7,702	15.19	9.47	62	
1929	19	5,356	7.00	7.56	108	
1930	27	4,702	11.34	7.37	65	
	26	4,943	5.42	4.05	75	
1931	. 7	1,006	9.30	5.87	63	
1932	37	12,042	5.05	4.97	98	
1933	31	12,042		2 1		
Day 1. 400					21	
Colquitt	74	2,813	27.10	8.24	30	
1930	34	6.025	20.69	8.26	40	0_X01611
1931	. 39	4,128	17.11	5.58	33	
1932	41		17.64	6.19	35	
1933	55	4,402	17.04	0.10		
			la, ser	5.1		
Coweta				8.70	38	
1925	56	5,793	22.61	8.75	45	
1926	53	5,014	19.36		61	
1927	57	4,951	13.62	8.34	54	27 TO 18
1928	64	6,038	13.94	7.49	67	
1929	29	3,258	14.89	9.99	47	
1930	30	3,058	15.30	7.15	47	
1931	24	2,491	15.58	7.29	45	
1932	52	7,054	10.52	4.69	64	
1933	53	5,905	7.98	5.14	64	
1300	T					
Dougherty					2.4	
1927	16	1,195	94.32	12.95	14	-
1928	18	670	90.74	19.33	21	
1929	24	1.981	46.32	13.74	30	
	6	379	32.21	11.55	36	
1930	3	13	426.92	234.62	55	
1931	6	189	39.17	15.40	39	
1932	7	609	19.00	8.73	46	
1933	1.	302				
Henry	22	1,977	26.70	11.25	42	
1925	35	2,370		11.99	42	
1926		3,937		10.88	51	
1927	51	2,030		10.36	51	
1928	29	2,000	,			

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

GEORGIA - Continued

		0150	HOIR - CONSTRUCT		
County					Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	value :	value to con-
<u>year</u>	J		: acre :	per acre:	sideration
Henry - C't'	1. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1929	46	3,221	19.49	9.91	51
1930	47	5,138	13.77	9.86	72
1931	43	3,107	13.30	9.92	75
1932	54	5,072	13.06	9.19	70
1933	36	3,674	13.79	8.32	60
Jones					
1925	12	3,467	6.11	4.32	71
1926	23	3,595	12.23	4.57	37
1927	27	3,217	13.45	€.47	48
1928	25	2,789	12.76	5.81	46
1929	26	4,269	11.11	4.90	44
1930	26	4,980	10.54	4.45	42
1931	15 '	2,077	6.75	3.48	52
1932	21	2,348	8.40	4.33	52
1933	22	3,356	4.90	2.87	59
Madison					
1925	30	1,166	31.35	11.43	36
1926	38	3,087	31.12	10.10	32
1927	61	3,658	22.21	9.75	44
1928	71	3,367	29.00	9.24	32
1929	44	2,121	27.85	9.61	35
1930	48	3,551	20.98	9.67	46
1931	27	1,847	24.99	9.82	39
1932	43	3,262	11.74	7.56	64
1933	48	2,916	12.74	6.59	52
Meriwether					
1925	24	2,192	17.96	8.02	45
1926	31	3,234	16.15	7.44	46
1927	25	2,401	19.18	7.42	39
1928	25	1,916	11.21	7.11	63
1929	22	3,786	11.81	7.12	60
1930	18	2,245	7.78	7.36	95
1931	16	1,220	12.53	5.88	47
1932	24	3,443	8.61	4.48	52
1933	17	2,368	6.51	4.49	69

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

GEORGIA - Continued

County and year	:Properties:	Acrenge	: per, :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to consideration
Oconee	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars 9.92	Percent 27
1925	44	3,313	36.67	9.92	. 26
1926	28	2,867	34.53	9.65	42
1927	45	3,587	22.76	9.53	36
1928	35	2,332	26.73	9.55	46
1929	46	2,586	20.91	9.67	34
1930	24	.1,711	28.62	9.07	55
1931	21	1,662	16.73	8.71	54
1932	22	1,367	16.00	9.00	43
1933	22	1,976	20.95	9.00	
Washington				4.97	36
1930	39	6,723	13.85	5.06	29
1931	17	, 1,561	17.33	5.06	31
1932	30	. 3,895	16.45		40
1933	31	. 3,275	10.12	4.07	40
Wilkes				01	57
1927	25	3,018	13.74	7.84	66
1928	26	2,923	13.22	8.66	76
1929	31	. 7,385	6.29	4.77	58
1930	21	. 2,178	. 11.35	6.60	47
1931	23	. 2,157	. 14.64	6.92	64
1932	33	. 4,011	. 7.91	5.06	68
1933	34	. 3,997	8.17	5.53	00
2000					

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of fdrm real estate

FLORIDA

•				FLORIDA			
	County	: Properties:	Acreage	: per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con-	
•	year			_: acre:	per acre .		
		W. L. Land	a laisen a	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	-
	Broward	Number	Acres 155	111.93	10.13	9	
	1931	8	. 30	65.00	11.00	17	
137	1932	1	177	62.15	20.45	33	
-	1933	. 8	177	02.10			
. >	Gadsden		5,731	16.07	4.74	29	
(EL TI	1928	53	2,189	21.71	5.63	26	
	1929	49	3,176	12.77	3.59	28	
	1930	37		11.59	3.55	31	
-	1931	24	. 1,360	20.76	5.40	26	
	1932	19	718	14.86	5.46	37	
	1933	7	162	14.00	0.13		
	Hernando		65	23.08	7.69	. 33	
	1927	4	141	27.30	7.70	28	
	1928	6		25.03	12.81	51	
	1929	3	64	39.26	8.68	22	
	1930	3	76	7.55	5.40	72	
	1931	3	100	5.96	8.25	138	
	1932	5	120	14.00	5.20	37	
	1933	4	150	14.00	0.20		
•							
	Jackson		2	3 4 56	4.76	33	
	1928	73	4,754	14.56 16.07	3.84	24	
100	1929	114	7,822		3.22	28	
	1930	109	9,989	11.49 13.17	3.56	27	
	1931	80	4,397		3.29	35	
	1932	89	5,506	9.31	3.08		•
	1933	67	6,741	7.58	3.00		
	,					100	
i i	Orange				12.11	n '	
	1928	7	95	114.74		15	- 1
-	1929	4	54		12.41	12	
135	1930	14	360	55,00	6.33	20	
	1.931	5	88		13.18	25	
	1932	8	196		36.48	30	
3	1933	5	75	54.15	16.13	30	
120							

FLORIDA - Continued

	County and year	: :Properties:	Acreage	-: Co	onsiderati per acre	on: Assessed value	Ratio of assessed: value to con- sideration	-
St.	Lucie	Number	Acres		Dollars	Dollars	Percent	4
	1925	35	3,799		72.03	14.22	20	
	1926	4	79		24.84	12.28	49	
	1927	2	24		79.96	16.67	21	4
	1928	6	71		71.76	23.66	33	
	1929	3	47		57.98	24.04	41	
	1929	ĭ	40		22.50	10.00	44	
	1932	3	50		134.86	46.00	34	4
	1933	3	34		138.24	27.94	20	
				_ 4				

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

 KHN	m.	TTO	77
TV PIV	1	w	n

County	TT			Assessed :	Ratio of assessed value to con-
and	:Properties:	"Acroage	per :	value :	sideration
year	1	2 <u> </u>	: _ + acre_ :	per acre:	<u>sideration</u>
	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
oyd		312	36.57	22.74	62
1928	7	753	51.36	27.55	54
1929	15	76	95.71	47.04	49
1930	3	127	52.76	20.87	40
1931	2		33.79	17.96	53
1932	18	896		18.37	60
1933	12	836	30.43	10.07	
alloway		1 3		ri ion and	0.77
1928	24	1,030	17.38	16.82	97
1929	53	3,548	14.05	16.89	120
1930	33	2,009	17.68	15.64	88
1931	20	1,020	19.41	22.14	114
1932	16	808	23.74	27.10	114
1933	8	402	9.55	13.68	143
hristian					
1928	14	1,232	18.76	17.88	95
1929	19	2,413	20.11	16.03	80
1930	30	5,220	23.33	19.84	85
1931	15	2,537	24.44	19.96	82
	24	3,505	17.47	12.74	73
1932 1933	17	3,013	18.03	14.84	82
1,00					
rayette		The section		-147 50	63
1925	23	2,166	227.46	143.50	66
1926	8	650	219.54	144.94	83
1927	8	348	198.82	164.78	76
1928	5	153	197.71	151.01	74
1929	10	980	178.38	132.70	63
1930	6	1,154	219.91	137.61	
1931	7	874	205.05	119.85	58 57
1933	3	227	284.88	163.16	57
Grayson					77
1928	76	4,379	12.60	9.73	77
1929	68	4,028	14.86	11.94	80
1930	62	4,444	13.68	13.23	97
1931	62	3,535	12.15	: 11.91	98
1932	54	3,942	9.20	10.36	113
1933	31	2,316	8.73	8.41	96

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

KENTUCKY - Continued

	Properties:	Acreage	e per	Assessed: value: per acre:	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration	_4
<u>year</u> :						
Green	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
Green 1928	Number 34	2,665	22.53	9.99	44	4
1928	56 .	4,489	26.24	10.29	39	100
	49	4,282	21.89	11.24	51	
1930	49 . 29 .	1,746	25.38	13.83	54	
1931	29 . 33	2,507	19.46	10.52	54	1
1932		1,049	17.04	9.87	58	
1933	18 .	T,0±9		12		
Hendin						
Hardin	50	4,520	20.33	16.78	83	4
1927	49 .	3,815	19.67	15.05	77	
1928		5,592	20.40	18.39	90	
1929	38 46	3,491	26.02	22.27	86	
1930	46	2,944	15.71	15.02	96	=4)
1931	33	4,170	15.36	16.02	104	
1932	34 .	1,643	16.39	16.63	101	
1933	21 .	. 1,043	. 10.00			
					100000	4
Harlan	2.	964	21.91	15.59	71	
1928	34 .		29.39	20.52	70	
1929	13 .	. 211	29.39	35.87	67	
1930	19	896	21.32	17.30	81	-4
1931	11 .	102	29.18	28.21	97	
1932	9 .	. 176	. 23.10	2		
						4
Knox	The same of the sa	1 400	15.70	11.30	72	
1930	22	1,462	17.52	13.88	79	
1931	24 .	. 1,111	11.76	9.55	81	
1932	19	850	13.49	15.14	112	1
1933	11	327	10.49			
Lawrence			23.84	15.86	. 67	
1928	49	3,573	18.60	11.26	61	4
1929	49	2,480	18.60	8.89	54	
1930	51	3,659	10.57	5.09		
						الاو
Lewis	50		15.67	10.15	65	1
1927	59 .	5,298		10.36	77	
1928	43	3,329		9.74	61	
1929	63	5,690		9.74	64	
1930	33 .	2,179		13.48	98	4
1931	23 .	1,829			56	
1932	20	1,477		12.25	59	
1933	13	1,196		8.19	00	
		THE RESERVE TO SERVE				-

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

KEMFUCKY - Continued

•	county			-: c c	nsideratio	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed value to con-
	and :	Properties:	Acreage	:	nere_	per acre :	sideration
	<u>year</u> :				Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	McCroary	Number	Acres		383.33	333.53	. 87
	1926	1	3		9.42	10.21	108
	1927	29	1,139		8.34	6.59	79
	1928	37	1,484	11 11		8.48	84
7.	1929	42	1,699		10.05	11.23	77
	1930	24	594		14.61	18.68	90
	1931	23	612		20.79	7.64	126
•	1932	16	616		6.04	8.90	103
	1933	16	582		8.62	8.90	
•			2000 2 200		W N. Deller		
10	Owen		7 000		37.44	24.35	65
0	1925	53	3,992		39.28	25.36	65
	1926	40	2,819		39.51	26.98	68
	1927	49	3,136		30.87	23.51	76
30	1928	63	5,952		30.98	21.25	69
•	1929	49	4,125		35.56	21.51	60
	1930	44	3,501		35.62	21.48	60
	1931	15	1,247			19.76	67
2	1932	13	840		29.37	18.06	82
	1933	14	1,171		22.06	10.00	
	Owsley					11.96	77
8	1928	13	851		15.56	12.77	62
	1929	8	411		20.74	9.42	85
	1930	23	1,891		11.03		64
	1931	25	1,404		18.04	11.54	66
	1932	18	967		19.12	12.53	106
	1933	9	558		9.96	10.58	100
	Perry		478		14.23	8.58	60
	1926	12			17.79	11.16	65
7	1927	32	1,402		12.95	6.91	53
	1928	19	1,226		10.00		
*	Dunas 11						63
	Russell 1929	60	3,149		23.92	15.05	52
		21	1,257		25.69	13.35	50
	1930	28	1,246		22.93	11.42	65
	1931	42	1,562		22.57	14.60	
	1932 1933	15	818		18.01	12.70	71
	1,500						

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

KENTUCKY - Continued

County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration	4
Webster 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933	Number 1 6 17 20 18 15 21 12 8	Acres 80 310 1,025 923 1,385 962 1,705 550 462	Dollars 18.75 32.58 28.57 24.30 25.19 25.21 20.34 18.58 18.18	Dollars 10.00 20.34 20.36 21.65 16.22 19.20 16.98 15.99 18.89	Percent 53 62 71 89 64 76 83 86 104	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

TLNNESSLE

•	County			:00		on:	Assessed	: Ratio of assessed : value to con-
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	:	per		value	
	year	1		_:_	_acre	_:.	per acre	:
	23	Number	Acres		Dollars		Dollars	Percent
	3lount	56	1,803		38.61		26.51	69
	1926	83	2,305		47.67		31.56	66
	1927	105	5,645		24.22		17.58	73
	.1928		8,781		40.71		26.00	64
	1929	200	6,994		33.18		20.33	61
	1930	109	5.070		45.74		21.43	47
	1931	119 .			40.01		24.68	62
•	1932	102	5,183		34.35		29.15	85
	1933	47	2,092		04.00		100.000	
	Chester						,	64
	1925	123	8,953		18.07		11.48	76
	1926	79 .	6,400		16.40		12.46	
	1927	84	5,498		19.85		14.13	71
	1928	86	5,486		21.55		15.59	72
	1929	77	5,383		21.32		13.81	65
	1930	51	4,557		16.90		13.62	81
	1931	50	4,732		16.35		12.98	79
	1932	37	2,851		10.31		11.59	112
,	1933	21	2,342		9.69		8.68	90
	Crockett		5 00E		47.52		30.14	63
	1925	96	5,025		44.10		32.47	74
	1926	97	4,178		50.03		35.69	71
	1927	93	4,104		45.55		39.11	86
	1928	67	2,231		44.40		. 30.70	69
,	1929	111	4,829		44.28		32.22	73
	1930	63	2,205				22.91	
	1931	53	2,839		36.93		30.26	
	1932	71	3,725		30.31		25.02	24
1	1933	40	1,669		32.88		20.02	
	Cumberland	46	1,984		17.21		14.47	
No.	1930		1,404		25.94		23.96	
,	1931	43	957		12.47		10.75	0.0
	1932	23	561		19.83		11.29	
	1933	16	201		10.00			
	Henry							81
	1927	137	. 7,773		21.58		17.57	
	1928	131	8,288		19.20		15.68	
	1929	162	13,715		17.46		12.87	
	' 1930	149	7,682		17.60		14,52	200

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

TENNESSIE - Continued

County	: :Properties		per	n: Assessed : 1	Ratio of assessed value to con-	-
year	2		acre	: per acre :	sideration	
Henry C't'd.	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1931	113	8,612	13.48	10.82	80	
1932	106	8,940	10.41	9.82	94	-4
1933	52	3,175	12.37	11.72	95	
Hickman						
1925	91	7,453	20.35	15.09	74	Air
1926	82	7,608	18.49	13.64	74	
1927	74	6,481	19.14	15.91	83	
1928	66	6,709	9.39	6.73	72	
1929	87	9,394	11.54	10.25	89	4+
1930	65	6,633	10.88	9.00	83	
1931	74	6,156	12.36	11.86	96	
1932	51	4,182	10.40	9.41	90	14
1933	50	6,019	16.74	15.81	94	.41
Jefferson						
1925	66 ,	3,745	57.14	41.58	73	16.00
1926	78 .	5,089	40.90	32.28	79	
1927	84	3,394	37.29	24.08	65	
1928	62	3,092	41.89	27.22	65	
1929	87	4,092	45.63	32.67	72	
1930	71	4,845	43.73	34.25	78	
1931	79	5,774	38.41	29.28	76	
1932	75	4,404	36.52	29.64	81	
1933	39	1,916	28.87	25.80	89	
Rutherford						
1927	73	5,217	53.08	42.86	81	2
1928	75	6,235	40.79	32.02	78	
1929	93	7,295	40.71	33.26	82	1.
1930	67	5,395	29.51	25.78 .	87	
1931	53	4,196	36.72	29.79	81	-2
1932	72	6,693	28.01	30.13	108	
1933	60 .	5,106	25.16	25.87	103	
	- X-					
Warren			70.40	10 00	58	
1925	74	4,963	30.49	17.67	50	
1926	68	4,132	23.09	11.66		
1927	80	5,662	20.37	11.00	54 58	7
1928	85	5,811	21.98	12.66		1.
1929	79	5,512	25.70	13.21	51	
1930	84	5,681	19.55	10.48	54	

Ratio of assessed velue to consideration in bona fide transfers of farr real estate;

TENNESSEE - Continued

8.0			7.	heeseed	: Ratio of assessed	
County	: :	Acreage	: per	value	: value to con-	
and	:Properties:	Acreage		per acre	sideration	
year	<u> </u>		·			
as ± 1/2	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
Varren-C't'd.	59	4.100	18.02	11.37	63	
1931		4,627	18.06	12.92	72	
1932	63	3,342	14.35	10.81	75	
1933	43	3,342	14.00			
Wayne		. 540	11.98	4.94	41	
1925	61	6,540		4.40	42	
1926	49	6,065	10.46	4.26	46	
1927	53	7,097	9.27	4.56	42	
1928	61	5,356	10.83	4.94	50	
1929	57	6,185	9.97		49	
1930	33	3,939	7.65	3.76	47	
1931	41	4,540	11.32	5.28	76	
1932	37	5,243	7.15	5.45	64	
1933	42	6,280	7.89	5.06	04	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ALABAMA

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ALABAMA .	- Conti	nued
-----------	---------	------

													Potio of assessed
County					:Ratio of assessed	4	·	County				on: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed value to con-
and	: Properties:	Acreage :	per	: value	: value to con-			and	:Properties:	Acreage		: value :	sideration
year_			_acre	_: per_acre	: sideration			year_	<u>-</u>		: _ scre _	_ : per acre :_	sideration
Cherokee	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	4		Lee	Number .	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1925	15	1,524	19.30	11.63	. 60	35		1925	55	6,306	17.39	8.83	51
1926	17	1,258	21.52	10.10	47	70		1926	35	3,037	17.68	9.82	56
1927	18	1,700	17.62	10.10	57			1927	37	4,003	13.96	8.73	63
1928	14	1,430	19.89	10.04	51	34		1928	32	3,002	18.45	9.10	49
1929	27	2,139	21.42	8.51	40	0.00	100	1929	48	6,663	16.54	8.90	54
1930	8	865						1930	16	1,408	18.06	10.76	60
1931		200	17.92	9.24	52				28	2,856	15.64	8.96	57
	6	763	14.39	18.35	125	411		1931		5,302	8.61	7.41	86
1932	11	670	21.89	13.10	60		-	1932	22		8.60	7.65	89
1933	7.	533	23.73	12.34	52			1933	25	4,323	-		
Coffee	1.6					4.1	100	Limestone				7.7.44	53
1925	45	5,913	26.44	11.85	45	7.7		1927	159	9,547	33.56	17.66	52
1926	58	6,537	24.59	14.31	58			1928	150	9,556	35.50	18.31	
1927	56	6,996	24.21	12.59	52		1/1	1929	145	11,577	37.11	17.52	47
1928	31	4,976	17.79	12.40	70	50	2.3	1930	85	5,402	36,40	16.65	46
1929	46	5,504	18.76	11.60	62	2.30	100	1931	63	4,747	28.59	15.26	53
1930	44	4,787	20.59	11.73	57		1	1932	77	7,262	20.04	14.24	71
1931	20	2,624	13.00	11.71	90		1	1933	80	6,869	18.09	12.92	71
1932	22	2,860	10.84	10.15	94		1.0	2000		- 4			
1933	21	3,151	11.13	11.28	101	75.1	N S	Marion					
		3						1926	149	13,493	7.93	7.94	100
DeKalb								1927	159	14,354	9.48	6.40	68
1928	89	5,783	29.95	23.65	79	4.		1928	144	13,238	11.71	8.55	73
1929	166	10,110	29.77	15.12	51		17	1929	127	10,649	9.25	6.79	73
1930	107	7,905	20.61	12.74	62		13	1930	69	4,775	9.49	7.08	75
1931	128	8,031	19.20	15.07	78		1 8	1931	67	7,066	7.02	5.57	79
1932	79	5,311	15.21	11.66	77	4	3:	1932	70	6,428	7.99	4.96	62
1933	99	6,011	13.60	10.55	78	4.0	1	1933	78	6,224	7.93	5.43	68
1300	33	0,011	10.00	10.55	10			1900	,,	0,555			
Greene							1 >	Washington			0.40	6.31	74
1925	72	15,933	14.28	7.21	50	776	103	1926	57	4,797	8.49	6.08	73
1926	40	7,017	8.08	3.76	47		3	1927	37	3,326	8.31	5.72	66
1927	51	12,078	6.62	5.45	82			1928	15	2,188	8.67		76
1928	40	7,171	11.94	6.49	54	4.	3	1929	23	1,336	9.98	7.62	91
1929	34	5,084	9.13	5.27	58	71	100	1930	23	1,242	8.83	8.03	86
1930	33	7,054	8.34	5.19	59			1931	16	1,041	6.10	5.26	
1931	14	4,982	8.68	6.73	78			1932	14	566	6.55	6.08	93
1932	7	1,150	5.51	5.76	105	1.	3	1933	19	1,884	7.13	6.26	88
1933	16	4,188	6.70	6.34	95	12	2			•			

ALABAMA - Continued

County and year	Proper tie	: :s:	Acreage	C	onsideration: per	Assessed	io of assessed alue to con- sideration	·
Winston	Number		Acres		Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1925	54		5,630		12.71	6.46	 51	
1926	34		2.874		14.51	6.96	48	. 4
1927	43		3.421		13.67	7.03	51	
1928	48		4,397		12.43	5.76	46	
1929	33	T	2,857		10.93	5.68	52	
1930	15		1,277		9.08	4.59	52	- 3
	28		2,281		8,10	5,63	70	
1931	30		2,331		12.37	. 7,16	58	
1932					5.72	4.02	70	
1933	30	-	2,325		0012	1.02	4	- 46

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

MISSISSIPPI

×	County and year	Properties:	Acreage	: Consideration : per : acre	: Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con-sideration
	Noxube e	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
*	1925	58	8,238	14.76	11.47	78
•	1926	28	3,804	11.90	11.31	95
	1927	34	5,115	14.47	13.25	92
	1928	12	2,310	20.12	14.65	73
. >	1929	10	2,267	14.49	13.69	94
	1930	19	3,033	13.55	10.00	74
	1931	7	750	7.21	5.92	82
1	1932	2	23	17.83	14.04	90
•	1933	3	130	10.95	11.94	109
	Scott				15.000	
>	1928	90	6,955	15.51	5.22	34
•	1929	97	6,771	18.37	5.08	28
	1930	72	6,250	13.54	5.03	37
	1931	55	3,798	12.90	6.66	52
- >	1932	44	2,455	11.48	3.49	30
	1933	52	2,929	11.29	3.29	29
				10		
	Tippah					
)	1925	80	8,273	14.30	6.19	43
	1926	79	6,958	16,60	6.64	40
	1927	76	7,336	17.69	7.25	41
	1928	59	5,641	14.15	6.44	46
,	1929	77	6,295	14.72	6.71	46
	1930	40	3,917	14.45	6.95	48
	1931	21	2,052	17.29	7.00	40
	1932	41	4,379	9.53	5.80	61
	1933	28	2,042	8.44	6.79	80
•						
	Winston					
1	1929	41	3,072	10.72	8.27	77
10,	1930	30	2,486	11.06	7.62	69
	1931	20	1,542	10.21	7.13	70
	1932	20	1,603	9.19	7.30	79
	1933	14	1,200	9.10	7.04	77

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ARKANSAS

			motion. les	essed : Ratio of	assessed
County:				alue : value	to con-
	operties: Acres				ration
year:_					
Bradley N	umber Acr	es Doll	Lars Dol		cent
1920	16 .7:		1.76 4		34
1921	19 1,1		5.58 5	.26	21
1922	51 4,7		4.34 4	.00	28
1923	71 4,4		4.54 5		35
1924	49 2,6		7,40 4	.20	24
1925	64 4,2			.22	34
1926	67 5,0		4.87	.33	29
1927	74 5,0		6.36	.68	29
1928	43 2,4		7.00	.38	38
1929	31 2,2		6.96	1.28	25
1930				5.24	26
1931	22 1,9	17 1	3.03	1.21	32
1932	31 5,5			2.02	41
1933	25 1.9		2.93	7.01	54
1000					
Carroll					400
1931	65 5.0	38 1		4.71	26
1932	57 3,9	26 1		5.35	27
1933	66 5,2		3.01	4.50	35
Grant					-
1928 .	45 3,0			3.30	31
1929	20 1,3			3.55	30
1930	24 1,4			3.59	27
1931	46 4,3	359		1.88	25
1932	42 2,4			3.18	36
1933	35 1,7	16	7.27	3.02	42
Hempstead					00
1924	25 3,0			5.50	26 17
1925	40 4,4			6.43	27
1926	91 '6,3			6.30	
1927	113 9,			5.81	24 28
1928	136 12,			5.80	25
1929				5.89	34
1930 .				7.23	33
1931				7.20 6.08	43
1932				5.83	44
1933	27 2,	402	L3.36	0.00	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ARKANSAS - Continued

	County			:Consideration:		Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage		value :	value to con-
	_ year	<u>-</u>		<u>: _ acre_ : </u>	per acre :	sideration
Polk	ĸ	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1925	39	3,556	13.83	6.60	48
	1926	60	4,693	13.09	3.96	30
	1927	51	3,303	16.80	4.08	24
	1928	63	3,593	. 20.12	5.47	27
	1929	101	6,841	. 14.55	4.37	30
	1930	92	6,803	10.60	3.85	36
	1931	100	7,696	9.12	4.18	46
	1932	92	7,953	8.14	3.20	39
	1933	64	3,616	12.43	5.87	47
Pula	aski					
	1925	1	28	71.43	9.29	13
>	1927	1	5	100.00	10.00	10
	1928	87	6,962	53.28	8.92	17
	1929	47	2,723	41.82	11.46	27
	1930	46	2,092	54.13	10.57	20
	1931	63	4,384	33.18	10.83	33
	1932	35	1,871	31.24	10.17	33
	1933	13	526	50.50	15.29	30
>						

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

LOUISIANA

			LOUIDIANA		
County			Consideration	· Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year	· · · · ·	Nor oago		: per acre :	sideration
year					
DeSoto	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1924	45	4,619	12.75	10.09	79
1925	18	1,334	17.01	9.88	58
1926	22	2,085	15.89	10.02	63
1927	21	2,095	13.60	11.72	86
1928	20	2,778	10.92	10.73	98
1929	23	3,297	16.06	10.16	63
1930	33 .	3,299	16.87	13.43	80
1931	32	5,485	11.46	12.87	112
1932	101	10,008	11.33	7.98	70
1933	52	6,067	11.53	7.10	62
East Felician	na .				
1924	1	283	56.54	15.90	28
1929	2	197	. 6.66	5.99	90
1930	ĩ	120	12.50	6.00	48
1931	2	211	21.90	9.27	42
1932	ĩ	132	10.18	6.00	59
1933	7 .	716	11.86	15.24	128
1900		, , ,			
Jackson		EL 3.42	0.75	6.16	63
1930	90	7,141	9.75	5.77	48
1931	55	3,368	12.10		53
1932	54	4,025	9.30	4.90	
1933	54	3,204	8.85	4.47	50 .
Jefferson Day	vis				
1925	89	21,327	47.20	25.53	54
1926	56	18,302	46.47	25.16	54
1927	44	10,707	36.69	20.41	56
1928	59	13,219	37.92	23.88	63
1929	60	14,855	41.81	21.81	52
1930	49	9,845	43.98	23.17	53
1931	29	4,155	22.49	19.08	85
1932	33	6,707	29.25	18.02	62
1933	11	780	22.70	16.36	72
: LaFourche					
1929	73	17,722	25.85	12.12	47
1930	58	3,498	32.99	16.73	51
		2,610	35.32	18.20	52
	52				
1931 1932	52 49	3,474	30.63	15.36	50

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

- LOUISIANA - Continued

	County			:Consideratio	n: Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
4	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
	year_	_ i i-		_:acre	: per acre :	sideration
10. 4		Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
Mad	ison	23	14,386	20.85	16.73	80
	1928	12	1,890	39.80	19.88	50
	1929		6,133	28.93	14.90	52
	1930	23		11.35	11.17	98
•	1931	10	15,288	20.81	15.15	73
	1932	9	3,637	12.58	11.83	94
	1933	10	13,289	12.00	11.00	
> Rap	idae		4.4	71.		
nap	1928	29	2,376	13.52	6.15	45
	1929	40	4,656	9.41	5.40	57
	1930	71	4,117	18.38	5.97	32
		105	8,102	10.90	5.49	50
	1931	8	1,124	6.30	3.50	56
	1932	90	3,753	11.96	9.09	76
	1933	90	3,700			
mon	gipahoa					
lan	1929	45	2,098	28.47	15.64	55
	1929	100	3,207	46.90	20.91	45
	1930	163	4,036	40.13	18.89	47
>	1931	66	2,803	31.18	16.73	54
	1933	10	430	23.93	14.14	59
1	1955	10				
Ver	non					
	1931	97	4,362	11.80	4.47	38 .
	1932	72	2,522	12.33	4.18	34
	1933	49	. 2,106	8.59	3.31	39

OKLAHOMA

County				Assessed :	Ratio of assessed	
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value :	value to con-	4
year			_: acre ' _:	per acre :	sideration	
			Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
Caddo	Number	Acres 80	25.00	12.50	50	4
1924	1	695	44.24	24.26	55	15
1926	8		39.11	20.67	53	6
1927	135	16,845	46.80	21.83	47	
1928	190	22,611	46.51	21.04	45	4,
1929	208	22,991	45.36	20.47	45	
1930	99	11,471		21.89	53	
1931	38	4,227	41.40	14.74	46	-
1932	44	6,093	32.05	15.79	45	4
1933	24	3,292	34.94	10.19	10	
		-				
McIntosh				24.24	40	
1925	205	14,023	35.26	14.14	41	4
1926	186	13,376	39.87	16.50	43	
1927	92	7,094	29.42	12.75	43	
1928	79	5,673	27.08	11.54		
1929	77	5,549	21.37	9.83	46	4
1930	66	3,620	22.27	9.07	41	
1931	67	4,301	21.15	11.90	56	
1932	42	3,494	14.85	8.24	55	
1933	34	2,182	12.84	8.72	68	4
1000						
Oklahoma						
1928	110	7,493	82.84	27.29	33	
1929	60	4,676	73.57	21.14	29	4
1930	29	2,244	62.46	18.24	29	
1931	13	899	41.38	17.18	42	
	6	. 66	153.94	39.14	25	
1932	7	482	51.46	19.54	38	4
1933		400				
Pushmataha						
1928	5	954	8.86	2.01	23	4
1929	82	8,218	6.05	3.12	52	
1930	53	5,070	5.94	2.64	44	
1931	48	4,276	4.96	3.35	68	
	68	5,312	5.29	3.47	66	4
1932	25	2,145	3.76	2.98	79	
1933	20	2,140	0.10			
Texas					74.	
1920	72	15,280	36.02	13.67	38	4
1922	23	4,520	34.18	13.24	39	
1924	22	4,200	34.09	11.97	35	
1964	20	1,200				

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

OKLAHOMA - Continued

County			:Consideration		Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year			: acre	: per acre :	sideration_
rexas - C't'	d. Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1925	29	5,120	27.49	13.17	48
1926	38	8,307	29.29	13.26	45
1927	23	3,721	. 33.93	. 13.55	40
1928	54	10,259	28.86	. 11.69	41
1929	85	14,889	34.65	12.71	37
1930	35	6,947	29.27	. 12.75	44
1931	12	2,126	29.00	11.59	40
1932	9	2,080	15.79	7.80	49
Woodward		•			
1927	17	3,979	14.75	9.66	65
1928	11	2,157	15.29	10.86	71
1929	49	9,414	39.13	14.49	37
1930	8	1,876	15.21	10.88	72
1931	12	1,899	. 34.67	15.60	45
1931	6	784	21.68	12.53	58
1933	11	1,993	17.17	10.92	64
1933	- 44	. 1,000			

Ratic of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

TEXAS

County			:Consideration:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value :	value to con-
year_			: acre :	per acre :	sideration_
That I down one	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
Childress	93	18,104	34.74	9.54	27
1925	72	13,074	34.54	9.96	29
1926		14,577	38.89	9.67	25
1927	92	16,556	33.26	8.51	26
1928	64		40.63	10.35	25
1929	46	6,953	35.95	8.56	24
1930	33	6,269	23.57	8.98	38
1931	22	4,373	14.75	6.51	44
1932	14	2,690	22.42	9.35	42
1933	20	2,924	66.46	3.00	
Denton		*			
1926	67	6,259	78.26	17.20	22
1927	147	17,129	69.68	16.64	24
1928	156	16,693	51.61	14.89	29
1929	181	27,024	46.51	15.69	34
1930	143	15,526	46.88	16.90	36
1931	118	11,773	38.22	14.77	39
1932	123	11,957	33.46	14.33	43
1933	72	8,104	23.04	12.80	56
Då = leon m					
Dickens	105	24,809	29.82	7.89	26
1925	81	17,887	32.61	7.52	23
1926	85	19,163	32.07	7.32	23
1927	96	26,633	26.54	7.35	28
1928	100	33,974	26.10	5.41	21
1929	59	10,022	33.08	8.56	26
1930	27	5,501	24.23	9.56	39
1931		14,175	16.41	7.40	45
1932	39	7,558	15.86	6.33	40
1933	26	7,500	10.00	0.00	
Ellis					70
1927	23	1,810	80.26	31.01	39
1928	32	1,826	70.95	33.85	48
1929	53	3,004	63.77	26.41	41
1930	79	5,209	66.42	27.11	41
1931	100	9,523	53.78	20.02	37
1932	76	6,749	40.55	22.65	56
1933	114	11,900	37.10	23.94	65

TEXAS - Continued

Count	у : :				Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year			i acre	: per acre ;	sideration
San Saba	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1925	86	37,035	14.00	7.04	50
1926	88	24,566	16.34	6.87	42
1927	89	73,335	12.72	4.47	35
1928	97	61,213	18.51	6.49	35
1929	99	49,691	17.00	6.82	40
1930	76	23,406	18.15	6.96	38
1931		17,679		6.29	. 44
1932	39	27,163	13.94	6.01	43
1933		7,179	13.84	7.41	54
Cutton					
Sutton 1925	9	30,773	7.37	2.00	27
1926	5	10,864	11.01	2.00	18
1920	12	32,310	8.07	2.00	25
1928	17	21,426	13.64	2.00	15
1929	ii	24,542	14.71	2.08	14
1930	4	8,431	13.19	2.00	15
1930	8	6,371	10.92	2.10	19
1931		3,104	5.62	2.16	38
1932		5,946	9.78	2.50	26
Swisher			00.00	n 07	0.4
1928		19,146	29.92	7.23	24
1929	88	23,554	29.41	6.74	23
1930		24,674	35.65	6.88	19
1931		7,801	31.96	6.73	21
1932		6,192	20.65	6.79	33
1933	23	7,281	17.07	7.11	42
Taylor					
1930	56	7,601	31.72	7.48	24
1931		10,311	27.32	7.82	29
1932		7,942	27.46	7.86	29
1933		14,087	16.95	7.32	43
Wharton					
1928	29	3,227	62.32	13.90	22
1928		3,523	52.03	13.35	26
1929		4,081	31.65	12.07	38
1930		2,011	31.55	9.96	32
1931		12,876	21.88	10.10	46
		12,216	17.94	9.95	55
1933	71	12,210	11.04	0.00	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

TEXAS - Continued

County and year	Properties:	Acronge	: 00	per :	value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
lood	Number	Acres		Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1929	114	10,532		17.77	6.70	38
1930	142	10,358		15.75	7.81	50
1931	163	10,891		16.30	7.60	47
1932	96	9,093		13.69	5.77	42
1933	112	9,102	,	11.44	6.80	59

MONTANA

	County				: Assessed : 1	Ratio of assessed
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
>	year_			: acre	: per acre :	sideration_
	Daniels	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
	1925	24	6,160	12.89	15.95	124
>	1926	24	6,554	11.88	15.48	130
	1927	15	4,048	12.55	16.02	128
	1928	21	7,144	. 13.62	15.54	114
	1929	16	4,832	17.96	17.48	97
>	1930	5	1,433	18.48	16.47	89
	1931	4	1,868	12.48	13.71	110
	1932	1	320	10.94	13.12	120
>	1933	i	81	11.60	16.63	143
	Garfield					
	1928	1	160	4.38	11.39	260
>	1929	2	637	6.28	6.56	104
	1930	2	486	7.14	6.96	97
	1931	1	320	5.16	9.43	183
	1932	ī	480	2.50	4.85	194
•	1933	3	1,115	3.71	6.15	166
	Hill			5.00	1.1	148
	1927	13	3,600	6.40	9.49	
>	1928	29	8,742	6.94	10.42	150 132
	1929	33	8,125	8.28	10.94	
	1930	15	3,385	13.53	14.83	110
740	1931	9	2,171	7.82	11.99	153
N.	1932	2	480	3.53	7.23	205
	1933	3	476	2.67	9.63	361
À	Lake .			20.50	8.83	65
	1925	19	1,831	13.59		80
	1926	32	2,506	25.05	19.96	77
	1927	18	1,867	23.26	17.86	66
>	1928	20	1,328	35.80	23.67	87
6	1929	14	1,677	17.57	15.27	105
	1930	14	1,214	30.35	31.85	95
	1931	8	615	29.76	28.36	97
>	1932	3	130	29.57	28.58	
	1933	12	1,231	21.71	24.32	112

MONTANA - Continued

	county and year	Properties:	Acreage		n: Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
Phill	ips 1928 1929 1930	Number 1 5	Acres 320 2,672 400	Dollars 6.41 3.03 2.50	7.66 6.01 4.16	Percent 120 198 166
	1931 1932 1933	3 3 2	640 807 640	2.78 3.10 3.02	6.26 4.58 4.59	225 148 152

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

IDAHO

ı >	County and year	: :Properties: :	Acreage	: ·per :	Assessed : value : per acre :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
Bla	nine 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929	Number 6 8 4 6 5	Acres 814 989 345 872 1,180	Dollars 28.63 26.13 27.83 19.16 19.41 4.37	Dollars 26.44 19.97 19.95 15.58 13.42 1.95	Percent 92 76 72 81 69 45
Bo	1931 nneville 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933	21 24 17 9 9	1,129 2,887 1,568 1,192 839 768	116.08 56.65 56.50 45.85 75.62 69.07	49.49 27.63 24.52 18.89 33.22 36.08	43 49 43 41 44 52
Id.	laho 1931 1932 1933	9 10 8	2,070 1,695 1,211	21.48 28.21 24.61	13.54 17.64 18.92	63 63 77

7 .

>

WYOMING

-,- ;	County			:Consideration:	Assessed	: Ratio of assessed	+ 4
	and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per :	value	: value to con-	
	year	·			per acre	:sideration	. 4
	Jean -						-
Alba	nv	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
arba	1927	9	5,759	6.30	5.29	84	
	1928	6	3,699	4.95	3.43	69	
	1929	5	2,129	6.92	4.11	59	- 4
	1930	4	1,680	3,08	3.68	119	. 14
	1931	6	28,216	4.39	3.98	91	
	1932	6	2,164	1.88	1.98	105	
	1933	3 .	3,710	2.35	1.75	74	10
	1000						
3ig	Horn					40	
7-6	1927	5	555	70.85	34.90	49	- V-
	1928	1	164	76.22	29.79	39	- 11
	1930	2	320	51.56	14.73	29	
	1932	1	155	19.58	9.39	48	
	1000					THE S	7
lamo	bell					244	,
Jung	1927	57	22,882	3.08 -	3.37	109	
	1928	62	29,894	3.62	3.35	93	
	1929	54	28,988	4.75	3.72	78	4 -
	1930	37	18,294	4.64	3.44	74	
	1931	31	16,207	3.72	3.08	83	
	1932	11	11,998	1.44	1.94	135	
	1933	19	9,309	2.38	2.53	106	4
	1000						
Gost	nen						
300	1929	18	4,321	24.66	13.52	55	
	1930	20	3,754	25.80	17.64	68	4
	1931	1	320	8.58	5.14	60	
	1932	2	120	45.83	40.77	89	
	1933	5	630	11.59	22.68	196	
	2000						. 1
TATE	amie						7
	1927	42	12,268	9.11	5.38	59	
	1928	53	23,357	7.05	4.90	70	1
	1929	38	13,099	6.93	4.72	68	
	1930	53	24,549	6.87	4.28	62	
	1931	20	11,195	6.03	3.17	53	
	1932	6	986	12.15	7.48	62	4
	1933	17	62,077	2.78	2.48	89	
Jo + 1	rona	\			1	40	
4G 01	1927	`2	90	6.00	5.56	93	
	1928	2	1,120	2.16	2.60	120	4
	1932	2	787	4.32	3.78	88	
-							

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

COLORADO

County					Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year			_: acre	: per acre :	sideration_
Alamosa	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1927	10	1,274	38'-27	25.97	68
1928	7	1,272	38.44	15.93	41
	7	960	30.21	12.42	41
1929		476	33.19	13.15	40
1930	3			14.98	53
1931	. 2	480	28.26		141
1933	3	400	12.25	17.24	141
Crowley					
1928	19	3,028	22.42	10.20	45
1929	5	736	48.22	15.21	32
1930	3	432	17.59	13.18	75
1931	4	410	24.63	20.80	84
1932	1	160	6.25	8.00	128
1932	i	160	2.44	2.47	101
Taman					
Logan	7	1,131	28.26	15.07	53
1931		818	19.93	14.89	75
1932	3 5	960	11.40	13.40	118
1933	5	960	11.40	14.10	
Moffatt				4 03	50
1927	7	1,500	13.73	6.91	
1928	14	5,371	14.50	5.06	35
1929	23	8,499	11.18	3.83	34
1930	13	4,286	19.32	6.40	33
1931	6	2,005	5.30	3.76	71
1932	7	3,114	6.66	5.39	81
1933	4	1,487	6.51	10.19	157
Montrose					
1928	23	1,995	29.23	19.22	66
1929	16	2,230	15.01	10.81	72
1930	10	952	31.38	13.35	43
1931	13	1.194		16.39	64
		4,732	7.38	3.99	54
1932	9			4.28	71
1933	9	1,745	0.00	4.00	**
Weld				10.10	05
1,930	32	8,236	15.63	10.13	65
1.931	23	5,168		17.45	79
1932	16	2,429	37.29	22.82	61
1933	16	3,041	23.73	12.13	51

NEW MEXICO

100

County and year	Properties:	icrenge	: per	value :	Ratio of assessed value to con- sideration
n Juan	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1925	7	219	53.42	41.41	78
1926	12	598	76.46	34.64	45
1927	12	960	28.54	23.60	83
	21	924	50.76	29.92	59
1928		1.736	35.36	18.46	52
1929	18	375	36.04	37.17	103
1931	8		48.10	39.59	82
1932 1933	15 8	763 384	37.11	28.79	78

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

ARIZONA

	County	: :	Acreage	:Co	nsideration per	: value :	Ratio of assessed
	and year	:Properties:		_:_		: per acre	sideration_
	ricopa	Number	Acres		Dollars	Dollars	Percent
لنكلا	1930	1	76		623.68	279.61	45
	-	14	624		231.23	109.31	47
	1931		659		96.89	30.42	31
	1932 1933	11 6	352		114.30	33.68	29

UTAH

County and year	: :Properties:	Acreage	: per :	Assessed value per acre	Ratio of assessed value to consideration	
Weber 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933	Number 21 27 17 18 22 16 11 11	Acres 623 540 221 745 619 368 307 383 100	Dollars 206.34 197.87 178.10 118.36 119.38 107.65 106.14 123.24 91.00	Dollars 86.20 86.22 84.71 74.36 59.63 75.41 69.19 75.56 69.20	Percent 42 44 48 63 50 70 65 61 76	

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

NEVADA

>	County and year	:Properties	Acreage	:Consideration: per acre	on: Assessed : value : per acre	: v	io of assessed alue to con- sideration
>	Elko 1925	Number 8 14	Acres 10,541 60.090	Dollars 4.50 8.06	Dollars 5.82 6.88		Percent 129 85
÷	1926 1927 1928 1929 1930	9 8 8 2	6,428 3,904 3,037	10.69 2.11 5.48 4.86	7.54 2.78 5.68 5.52		71 132 104 113

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

WASHINGTON

County and year	:Properties:	Acreage	:Consideration: : per : : acre :	value : per acre :	value to con-	<
dams	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent	
1928	4	1,437	19.12	6.98	37	
1929	11	5,879	20.85	8.47	41	48
1930	6	2,345	26.40	10.04	38	T.
1931	3	2,244	27.87	11.11	40	
1932	4	2,177	7.65	6.18	81	4/2
helan				100.402		44.5
1928	22	388	336.13	122.35	36	
1929	15	291	397.29	164.09	41	
1930	. 22	310	554.21	141.19	25	4
1931	10	235	419.45	97.11	23	
1932	9	281	233.54	61.39	26	
1933	7	169	154.44	101.83	66	₹
ranklin						- 7
1928	7	2,871	15.67	7.57	48	
1929	9	2,249	13.13	6.44	49	4 10
1930	1 .	80	6.25	3.00	48	12.71
1931	4	543	20.81	6.89	33	
Thatcom						4
1928	19	771	124.12	29.85	24	الانتها
1929	25	561	129.57	34.99	27	
1930	15	342	105.09	28.17	27	
1931	18	551	125.46	32.61	26	4
1932	13	403	53.12	15.35	29	
1933	17	370	74.15	23.58	32	
hitman						
1928	67	12,987	78.64	23.11	29	13
1929	43	7,548	70.04	25.68	37	
1930	18	3,317	64.27	19.30	30	-
1931	22	4,843	59.43	21.05	35	1
1932	18	4,277	57.68	22.72	39	*
1933	18	5,142	44.29	14.57	33	

OREGON

			-: c	onsideratio	n:	Assessed :	Ratio of assessed
County	:Properties:	Acreage	:	per	:	value:	value to con-
and	Proper dos.	Morage		acre	:	per acre :	sideration
year					70		* 10
		Acres		Dollars		Dollars	. Percent
3enton	Numbor	364		57.62		24.20	42
1927	8			41.70		20.10	48
1928	5	409		20.01		21.48	107
1929	7	1,197	i i			22.60	47
1930	4	223	*	48.52		30.78	51
1931	8 .	294		60.35		17.75	106
1932	5	675		16.67			103
1933	3	97	**	29.38		30.21	100
1000							
Clackamas						.= 00	51
1932	17	704		87.91		45.00	54
1933	17	702		52.99		28.73	54
1899	* -						
Columbia							
	27	1,407		42.01		20.74	49
1928	32	894		51.73		26.58	51
1929		666		46.80		25.63	55
1930	23	176		59.95		29.63	49
1931	11	254	-	28.13		26.20	93
1932	13			48.32		34.39	71
1933	10 .	229		10.00			
	5 - L A		. "				
Coos			•	EC 17/		36.55	64
1929	13	716		56.74		13.32	98
1930	5 .	368		13.55			157
1931	5	337		14.25		22.31	84
1932	5	470		29.77		24.87	0.2
1000							
Douglas							E
1930	36	2,869		34.50		20.04	58
1931	47	4,792	-	26.51		12.15	46
-	32	2,924		28.27		14.20	50
1932	31	2,749		27.20		17.86	66
1933	OT.	2,120		research and			
Jackson	20	1 869		11.98		8.90	74
1931	16	1,562		9.00		9.51	106
1932	11	911		22.27		14.89	67
1933	11	1,106		66.61		11.00	
Klamath				ED 34		23.14	40
1928	3	119		57.14			101
1929	3	260		1.83		1.85	58
1931	6	188		22.30		12.86	70
1932	2	166		7.89		5.54	
77	6	776		2.31		2.43	105
1933	0	.,,					

Ratio of assessed value to consideration in bona fide transfers of farm real estate

OREGON - Continued

County					Ratio of assessed
and	:Properties:	Acreage	: per	: value :	value to con-
year _			: acre	: per acre :	sideration
Linn	Number	Acres	Dollars	Dollars	Percent
1929	10	525	85.65	31.85	37
1930	7	427	59.73	20.47	34
1931	4	143	69.23	35.10	51
1932	2	169	27.12	23.25	86
1933	7	488	49.85	35.86	72
She rman					
1928	5	2,847	17.07	10.21	60
1929	2	412	8.50	10.15	119
1930	3	240	21.67	15.18	70
Umatilla					
1925	47	5,322	79.80	36.59	46
1926	41	3,948	68.05	28.11	41
1927	22	2,431	51.74	34.49	67
1928	17	1,737	33.81	19.08	56
1929	15	2,596	54.58	37.92	69
1930	16	1,469	66.53	33.82	51
1931	6	634	42.04	54.10	129
1932	7	1,237	23.19	20.51	88
1933	9	399	62.29	57.09	92
Jnion					
1928	15	2,192	21.27	18.72	88
1929	16	3,629	27.15	16.39	60
1930	7	605	37.35	19.09	51
1931	5	333	63.96	41.77	65


MSH#06454

MAR 1 6 1995

NEH

		Dat	e Due	9		
	-					
	-		-			
	-					
	-					
	-		<u> </u>			
	-					
	-			_		
	-			-	-	_
				-	-	4
				-		4
		-		-		-
					_	-
®				-		1

D385 US. Bur. agric Pallo stamen consider	
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY LI	



END OF TITLE